

The Honorable Lando W. Zech, Jr.
Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Chairman Zech:

SUBJECT: PROPOSED RESOLUTION OF GENERIC ISSUES 70, "POWER OPERATED
RELIEF VALVE AND BLOCK VALVE RELIABILITY," AND 94, "ADDITIONAL
LOW-TEMPERATURE OVERPRESSURE PROTECTION FOR LWRs"

During the 346th meeting of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safe-
guards, February 9-11, 1989, we reviewed the proposed consolidated
resolution of Generic Issues 70 and 94, as described in the memorandum
from E. Beckjord to E. Jordan, dated December 7, 1988. Our Subcommittee
on Mechanical Components also considered this matter during a meeting on
January 27, 1989. During these meetings, we had the benefit of dis-
cussions with representatives of the Office of Nuclear Regulatory
Research and the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, as well as with
NRC staff consultants.

As a result of our review, we concur in the proposed resolution of
Generic Issues 70 and 94, provided the following clarifications are
added to the Plant Technical Specification Action Statements in En-
closures C-1, D-1, and E to the generic letter in the proposed reso-
lution package.

- 1) When one or more block valves associated with power operated relief
valves (PORVs) are closed because of excessive relief valve seat
leakage, it should be required that electrical power be maintained
to the block valves to ensure quick reopening capability from the
control room. This requirement was discussed in the Staff's
Regulatory Analysis (Section 5.2) but was not stated explicitly in
the Modified Technical Specifications. We believe it should be.
- 2) In the Surveillance Requirements section, the staff should state
that the reactor coolant system should be in hot shutdown rather
than cold shutdown when performing an operability test on the block
valves or PORVs. In the Regulatory Analysis the staff states that
stroke testing of these valves should be performed only at cold
shutdown. During our discussions with staff members, they agreed
that hot shutdown is the correct requirement.
- 3) The Surveillance Requirements section should also include the
solenoid air control valves and check valves on associated air
accumulators. The inservice testing requirement stated in the
Staff Regulatory Analysis does include valves in PORV control
systems. We believe that this statement should be modified to
clearly specify the solenoid valves and the accumulator check
valves in PORV control systems.

Additional comments by ACRS Members William Kerr, Harold W. Lewis and

Paul G. Shewmon are presented below.

Sincerely,

Forrest J. Remick
Chairman

Additional Comments by ACRS Member William Kerr

Although intuitively I believe that improving the performance of power operated relief valves would decrease risk of reactor power plant operation, I do not believe the Staff's Regulatory Analysis demonstrated that this would occur. Nor do I believe it showed that what is proposed would improve the performance of relief valves.

Additional Comments by ACRS Members Harold W. Lewis and Paul G. Shewmon

We were told by the staff that studies show that these valves pose an insignificant risk, but that, for other reasons, that were not presented, they disagree with the analysis. That makes this an example of regulation for the sake of regulation with little impact on safety. As such, it is a bad example. We think that they have done no harm, but that is an inappropriate standard for the resolution of generic issues.

Reference:

Memorandum, with enclosures, dated December 7, 1988 from Eric S. Beckjord, Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, NRC, to Edward L. Jordan, Chairman, Committee to Review Generic Requirements, NRC,
Subject: Request for CRGR Review of Proposed Resolutions of Generic Issue 70, "Power Operated Relief Valve and Block Valve Reliability" and Generic Issue 94, "Additional Low-Temperature Overpressure Protection for Light Water Reactors"

→