

Photo 2. View from proposed site on WCS property looking southwest towards red soil pile (see Photo Point 1 on **Figure 5**).



Photo 3. View looking east towards the proposed site from Photo Point 2 (see **Figure 5**). Note the URENCO facility barely visible on the horizon.



Photo 4. View looking east from Photo Point 3 (see **Figure 5**).



Photo 5. View looking east from Photo Point 4 (see **Figure 5**).



May 5, 2015

Sarah Birtchet

PROPERTIES AFFECTE
PROPERTIES AFFECTE

Texas Historical Commissio

History Division P.O. Box 12276

Austin, TX 78711

for Mark Wolfe

State Historic Preservation Officer

MAY 0 6 2015

Re: Project Review under Section 106 for a Proposed Consolidated Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility in Andrews County, Texas

FORBES

Dear Ms. Birtchet:

Waste Control Specialists LLC (WCS) intends to file an application for a license for the independent storage of spent nuclear fuel and reactor-related, greater-than-Class C wastes at a site in western Andrews County, Texas (see **Figure 1**, attached). These activities are regulated by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC); the project is therefore subject to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. This letter addresses historic resources; archeological resources are being coordinated under separate cover. The site is in the northwestern-most corner of Andrews County and is immediately adjacent to the Texas/New Mexico state line; this project is also being shared with the New Mexico State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO).

A previous license for disposal of low-level radioactive waste on the WCS complex was coordinated with the Texas Historical Commission (THC) and the New Mexico SHPO in 2006. The THC and New Mexico SHPO concurred that there would be no historic properties affected on July 20, 2006, and July 21, 2006 respectively.

Project Description

WCS is requesting authorization from the NRC to construct and operate a Consolidated Interim Spent Fuel (CISF) storage facility for spent nuclear fuel on approximately 100 acres of land within the approximately 14,000-acre complex owned by WCS (see **Figure 2**). The project is located in a remote area approximately five miles east of Eunice, New Mexico and north of Highway 176 (also named Highway 87). The area is surrounded by a high density of oil wells to the west and some oil wells to the north; there is little development to the south and east, excluding portions of the existing WCS facility. Operations at the WCS facility began in 1994; none of the development is historic-age.

The proposed facility would house a dry cask storage system. WCS is exploring several different options for the system. One option would be an above-ground system utilizing several low-rise buildings (see **Figure 3**), while another option would store the casks underground. Both the above-ground and belowground design options are assumed to require the presence of a crane approximately 60 feet in height during the operating license timeframe.

Historic Resources Area of Potential Effect

The Area of Potential Effect (APE) for direct impacts is proposed as the project footprint (see **Figure 4**). Taking into consideration the height of the crane that would be required, the height of the potential above-ground facility, and the relatively flat surrounding terrain, the APE for indirect/visual impacts is



proposed as a one-mile radius from the proposed project footprint (see **Figure 4**). WCS anticipates that the NRC will issue a Final Environmental Impact Statement and License by April 1, 2019. Therefore, a historic-age date of 1974 (45 years prior to 2019) is proposed.

According to a search of the digital Sites Atlas maintained by the THC, no known historic cemeteries, Official State Historical Markers (OSHM), State Antiquities Landmarks (SALs), or properties or districts listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) are located within the APE for direct or indirect impacts. The nearest previously identified resource is the OSHM for Andrews County, located approximately 17 miles southeast of the project area.

Adjacent to the WCS facility to the west is a large uranium enrichment plant called the National Enrichment Facility, operated by Urenco. This facility was developed within the past 15 years. The proposed project area is located in a very remote area of Texas with little development aside from the non-historic age WCS and Urenco facilities. The proposed project would not result in a direct effect to any historic resources. There do not appear to be any historic resources 45 years or older (dating to 1974 or earlier) within the one-mile indirect effects APE.

The nearest developed area is Eunice, New Mexico, which is located approximately five miles west of the proposed site. There are two large visual obstructions between viewers in Eunice and the proposed crane at the site: red soil mounds approximately 100 feet in height on WCS property, and the Urenco facility (see **Figure 5**). Based on information from WCS, the soil mounds will be in place indefinitely or potentially utilized as fill. As illustrated in **Photos 3-5** in the attached photo sheets, the red soil mounds and the Urenco facility are visible from the outskirts of Eunice but tend to dissolve visually into the horizon. Excluding the crane, the CISF storage facility would be approximately 30 feet above the surface and less visible from Eunice than existing features and structures.

Request for Concurrence

Emily Reed

It is the professional opinion of CMEC cultural resources personnel that further historic resources investigations are not warranted prior to construction. We ask for your concurrence with this finding.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at EmilyR@coxmclain.com or 512-338-2223.

Sincerely,

Emily Reed, Architectural Historian

Cox | McLain Environmental Consulting, Inc.

Attachments

Figure 1: General Project Location Map

Figure 2: Detail Facility Map

Figure 3: Potential CISF Storage Facility Site Design Renderings

Figure 4: Proposed APE for Historic Resources

Figure 5: Viewshed Analysis

Contextual Photographs

TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

ANTIQUITIES PERMIT APPLICATION FORM ARCHEOLOGY

GENERAL INFORMATION

I. PROPERTY TYPE AND LC	CATION
-------------------------	--------

Project Name (and/or Site Trinomial)	Waste Control Specialists (WCS) Spent Fuel Storage Facility
County (ies) Andrews	
USGS Quadrangle Name and Number	Eunice NE (3203-144)
	S E 683128-681989 N 3592495-3592059
Location WCS Storage Facility	
Federal Involvement	∑ Yes □ No
Name of Federal Agency Nuc	lear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
Agency Representatives	
II. OWNER (OR CONTROLLING AGENC	Y)
Owner Andrews County	
Representative Richard H. Dolgener	r, County Judge
Address 201 N. Main, Rm 10	04
City/State/Zip Andrews, TX 79714	
	01 Email Address rdolgener@co.andrews.tx.us
SponsorRepresentativeAddressCity/State/Zip	
Telephone (include area code)	Email Address
PROJECT INFORMATION	
I. PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR (ARCHEO	DLOGIST)
Name Chris Dayton	
Affiliation Cox McLain Environmental	Consulting, Inc.
Address 6010 Balcones Dr. Ste. 210	
City/State/Zip Austin, TX 78731	
Telephone (include area code) 512-338-222	23 Email Address <u>chris@coxmclain.com</u>

(OVER)

ANTIQUITIES PERMIT APPLICATION FORM (CONTINUED)

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION Proposed Starting Date of Fieldwork May 18, 2015 Requested Permit Duration 3 Years Months (1 year minimum) Scope of Work (Provided an Outline of Proposed Work) pedestrian survey, judgmental shovel testing III. CURATION & REPORT Temporary Curatorial or Laboratory Facility Cox|McLain Environmental Consulting, Austin, TX Permanent Curatorial Facility Texas State Center for Archaeological Studies (CAS) IV. OWNER'S CERTIFICATION Richard H. Dolgener , as legal representative of the Owner, Andrews County , do certify that I have reviewed the plans and research design, and that no investigations will be performed prior to the issuance of a permit by the Texas Historical Commission. Furthermore, I understand that the Owner, Sponsor, and Principal Investigator are responsible for completing the terms of the permit. John Wilder Date 5-7-2015 V. SPONSOR'S CERTIFICATION I, ______, as legal representative of the Sponsor, _____, do certify that I have review the plans and research design, and that no investigations will be performed prior to the issuance of a permit by the Texas Historical Commission. Furthermore, I understand that the Sponsor, Owner, and Principal Investigator are responsible for completing the terms of this permit. _____ Date VI. INVESTIGATOR'S CERTIFICATION <u>Chris Dayton</u>, as Principal Investigator employed by <u>Cox|McLain Environmental_Consulting, Inc.</u> (Investigative Firm), do certify that I will execute this project according to the submitted plans and research design, and will not conduct any work prior to the issuance of a permit by the Texas Historical Commission. Furthermore, I understand that the Principal Investigator (and the Investigative Firm), as well as the Owner and Sponsor, are responsible for completing the terms of this permit. Signature _____ Date ____ April 29, 2015 Principal Investigator must attach a research design, a copy of the USGS quadrangle showing project boundaries, and any additional pertinent information. Curriculum vitae must be on file with the Division of Antiquities Protection. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Reviewer_ _____ Date Permit Issues _ Permit Number _____ Permit Expiration Date _____ Type of Permit ______ Date Received for Data Entry _____

Texas Historical Commission Archeology Division P.O. Box 12276, Austin, TX 78711-2276 Phone 512/463-6096 www.thc.state.tx.us

