

## Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs

Safety and Security in the Beneficial Applications of Nuclear Materials

# Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking 10 CFR Part 20

NRC Public Meeting - October 2, 2014

Issue 1- Update 10 CFR Part 20 to align with ICRP Publication 103

Methodology and Terminology

Donald A. Cool, Ph.D. Senior Advisor, Radiation Safety and International Liaison

### **History of Methodology and Terminology**

 ICRP Recommendations – Publication 60 (1990) adopted updated dose calculation methodology and terminology

 ICRP Recommendations – Publication 103 (2007) further revised factors used in dose calculation, but did not change methodology or terminology



#### **Updated Methodology and Terminology**

#### Commission Direction:

 Develop a draft regulatory basis for a revision to 10 CFR Part
 20 to align with the most recent methodology and terminology for dose assessment.

#### Proposal:

- TEDE becomes TED
- New W<sub>T</sub> and W<sub>R</sub> values incorporated into definitions
- Age and Gender average dose coefficient for reference member of public
- Appendix B revised with new ALI and DAC values



#### **Changes to Terminology**

- Changes to methodology resulted in changes in terms:
  - Effective Dose
  - Total Effective Dose
  - Equivalent Dose
- Compliance would remain based on combination of internal and external exposure



### **Changes to Methodology**

 Quality factors in 20.1004 would be replaced by Radiation weighting factors w<sub>R</sub>

Table 2.	Recommended	radiation	weighting	factors.
			,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

Radiation type	Radiation weighting factor, $w_R$
Photons	1
Electrons <sup>a</sup> and muons	1
Protons and charged pions	2
Alpha particles, fission frag- ments, heavy ions	20
Neutrons	A continuous function
	of neutron energy
	(see Fig. 1 and Eq. 4.3)

All values relate to the radiation incident on the body or, for internal radiation sources, emitted from the incorporated radionuclide(s).

<sup>a</sup> Note the special issue of Auger electrons discussed in paragraph 116 and in Section B.3.3 of Annex B.



#### **Changes to Methodology**

 Tissue Weighting Factors, w<sub>T</sub>, updated to include more organs, and numerical values revised to reflect relative contributions to total risk

Tissue	$w_{\mathrm{T}}$	$\sum w_{\mathrm{T}}$
Bone-marrow (red), Colon, Lung, Stomach, Breast, Remainder tissues*	0.12	0.72
Gonads	0.08	0.08
Bladder, Oesophagus, Liver, Thyroid	0.04	0.16
Bone surface, Brain, Salivary glands, Skin	0.01	0.04
	Total	1.00

<sup>\*</sup> Remainder tissues: Adrenals, Extrathoracic (ET) region, Gall bladder, Heart, Kidneys, Lymphatic nodes, Muscle, Oral mucosa, Pancreas, Prostate (3), Small intestine, Spleen, Thymus, Uterus/cervix (2).



#### Age and Gender Average Reference

- Models are now available for infant, 1 yr, 5 yr, 10 yr,
   15 yr. old male and female, and adult male and female
- To more accurately reflect a person born and growing up in an area, these models can be combined based on the percentage represented in U.S. census data rather than just adult
- Approach currently used by DOE, documented in Technical Standard DOE-STD-1196-2011
- Calculations would be updated using ICRP Publication 103 values and 2010 Census Data.



#### **Changes for Appendix B**

- NRC considering revisions to Tables 1, 2, and 3 of Appendix B
  - Table 1, Occupational ALI and DAC, based on adult reference person as defined by ICRP, and number of working hours
  - Table 2, Effluent Concentrations, based on age and gender averaged approach
  - Table 3, Sewer concentrations, based on age and gender averaged approach



#### **Updated Methodology and Terminology Questions**

- Q1-1 What are the implications of terminology change?
   Specifically, what are the associated costs of the change?
- Q1-2 What would be an appropriate implementation time frame and an approach to transition into the new terminology?
- Q1-3 How should the calculations of effluent concentration be modified to reflect advances in modeling that are now available? What are your views on age and gender weighted composite?
- Q1-4 What dose level should be used for effluent concentrations to demonstrate compliance?



#### Summary

- Comments will be accepted until November 24, 2014
  - Federal e-Rulemaking portal at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> under Docket ID NRC-2009-0279
  - email to <u>Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov</u>
  - fax to Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 301-415-1101
  - mail to Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington,
     DC 20555-0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff
- Public Meetings / Webinars scheduled for:
  - October 9, 2014
  - October 16, 2014
  - October 23, 2014

http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/regulatory/rulemaking/potential-rulemaking/opt-revise.html

