



**U.S.NRC**

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

*Protecting People and the Environment*

# **Digital I&C ITAAC Inspection (a modified approach)**

**November 7, 2013**

**T.R. Fredette, PE**

**NRO/DCIP/CIPB**


**[thomas.fredette@nrc.gov](mailto:thomas.fredette@nrc.gov)**



# Background

- **Current approach developed to support DAC inspection (~2010)**
- **Life Cycle Phase-driven; essentially a verification of life cycle design outputs and documentation using IP65001.22**
- **Adopted for use with AP1000 ITAAC in 2011 (ITAAC 2.5.1.4 and 2.5.2.11 through 2.5.2.14)**
- **One inspection completed for ITAAC 2.5.2.11.b (“Requirements” phase for PMS) in April 2012**

# Original DI&C Inspection Approach (circa 2010)





## Lessons Learned since 2012

- **Actual DI&C development schedule is dynamic (and may be accelerated)**
- **Multiple parallel activities (development and testing) present a potential challenge to existing inspection resources**
- **Availability of expertise and continuity in key inspection areas is a concern**
- **Example- current development of AP1000 platforms (PMS (w/ CIM subsystem) and DAS)**



## **Lessons Learned (cont.)**


- **In 2013, NRO shifted to vendor inspection process for activities conducted at vendor facilities (e.g. DI&C)**
- **Through dialogue w/ WEC, magnitude of DI&C development/testing activities became apparent;**
- **Modified approach was needed that would enable inspection of in-progress activities and Appendix B processes, as well as design outputs**
- **inspection resources**
- **Built-in efficiencies; more than one ITAAC could be touched with an inspection, and ITAAC could be touched more than once**



# Modified Approach

- **Series of planned vendor inspections (augmented by RII and NRO expertise)**
- **Not milestone-driven; scope includes the activities currently being performed (based on informational meetings with WEC)**
- **All targeted ITAAC-related activities will be “touched” by an inspection**
- **Bi-weekly dialogue w/ WEC and use of virtual reading room are mechanisms for planning and scoping inspections**

# Modified Approach (cont.)





# Advantages

- **Flexibility-** inspection scope can be adjusted based on the development schedule and latest “intel”
- **NRO controls the inspection schedule (not subject to milestones or vendor schedule dynamics )**
- **DI&C expertise can be merged with vendor inspection expertise (enhanced inspection quality)**
- **Efficiency-** a typical inspection may include several ITAAC-related activities
- **Multiple inspection opportunities for long-duration ITAAC-related activities**



## Status

- **Planning for first DI&C vendor inspection is on-going; expect to complete January 2014**
- **Scope to include attributes associated with:**
  - **PMS design/implementation phase activities and design outputs (ITAAC 2.5.2.11.c)**
  - **Selected PMS testing activities (ITAAC 2.5.2.11.d)**
  - **PMS/CIM commercial grade dedication follow-up (ITAAC 2.5.2.13)**
  - **Selected DAS testing activities (ITAAC 2.5.1.4.b)**
  - **PMS/CIM planning phase activities and outputs (ITAAC 2.5.2.14.a)\***

*\* design acceptance criteria*



# Inspection Results

- **Inspections conducted with IP43002 (vendor IP) informed by IP65001.22 (DI&C) or other procedure(s) as necessary**
- **Results documented in vendor inspection report**
- **Issues that may impact licensee's capability to complete and subsequently close affected ITAAC are communicated to licensees via separate letter**
- **Vendor(s) should execute their development process; for DI&C SSCs, inspection will proceed as development progresses and ends following final inspection/verification of the ITAAC "Report."**



# Questions/Discussion