## Sollenberger, Dennis

From:

White, Duncan

Sent:

Friday, April 09, 2010 12:09 PM

To:

Schneider, Kathleen; Poy, Stephen; McCraw, Aaron; Sollenberger, Dennis

Cc:

Brown, Carrie

Subject:

Fw: NRC IMPEP Review of Colorado Agreement State Program

FYI.

This is an open performance concern that Stephen is working on.

**From**: <u>sarah@uraniumwatch.org</u> <<u>sarah@uraniumwatch.org</u>>

To: White, Duncan

**Sent**: Fri Apr 09 11:43:15 2010

**Subject**: NRC IMPEP Review of Colorado Agreement State Program

Dear Mr. White,

The NRC has commenced their review of the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment's

Agreement State Program and will visit the Department in Denver next week.

Will CDPHE's compliance with the statutory requirements of 42 USC § 2021(o) with respect public notice and comment and provision of an environmental analysis will be part of that review?

Will CDPHE's compliance with the regulatory requirements in 10 CFR Part 40, Appendix A, Criterion 6A, and with respect the Cotter Corporation's Canon City Uranium Mill's Secondary Impoundment be part of that review?

Will CDPHE's compliance with expectations and requirements set out in the "Memorandum of Understanding Between EPA, NRC, and the State of Colorado, Texas, and Washington Concerning Clean Air Act Standards for Radon Releases From Uranium Mill Tailings, Subparts T and W, 40 CFR Part 61" (56 Fed. Reg. 55434, October 25, 1991) with respect the Canon City Mill's Secondary Impoundment be part of that review?

I would expect that you would have provided Aaron McCraw with a copy of my March 23 and April 7 letters regarding these matters.

Sincerely,

Sarah M. Fields Program Director Uranium Watch 76 S Main, #7 PO Box 344 Moab, Utah 84532 435/210-0166 sarah@uraniumwatch