



United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Protecting People and the Environment

Simulated ITAAC Closure and Verification Demonstration

Progress Update

October 7, 2010

Mahmoud Jardaneh, PE
NRO/DCIP/CTSB/CIT

Scott Freeman, PE
RII/CCI/DCP/CPB2

Overview

- Participants include NRC, DOE, Westinghouse, Southern Company, SCANA (Observer)
- Purpose:
 - Gain insights into the readiness of industry closure process and NRC inspection and closure verification process
 - Test aspects of the construction assessment and enforcement programs
 - Evaluate the surge in ITAAC closure submittals during the last year of construction

Scope

- Six ITAAC selected from AP1000 design
 - ITAAC 2.1.02.07a.i, RCS Harsh Environment Type Test
 - ITAAC 2.2.01.04a.ii, Containment System Impact Testing
 - ITAAC 2.2.02.01, Passive Containment Cooling Functional Arrangement
 - ITAAC 2.2.03.08c.i, Injection Line Flow Resistance Testing and Analysis
 - ITAAC 2.6.03.08, DC System Fault Current Analysis
 - ITAAC 3.7.01, Design Reliability Assurance Program
- Four Stages:
 - ITAAC Performance and NRC Assessment
 - ITAAC Closure
 - Exercise Workshop
 - Lessons Learned

Milestones

- 7/29/10 Public Meeting – Kickoff
- 8/19/10 Public Meeting – Progress Update
- 9/14/10 Simulated Inspection at Vogtle 3&4
- 9/22/10 Simulated Inspection at Westinghouse HQ
- 10/07/10 Public Meeting – Progress Update
- 10/22/10 Region II issues Integrated Inspection Report
- 10/29/10 Westinghouse/Southern submit ICL to NRC
- 12/09/10 Public Meeting – Progress Update
- 12/16/10 NRC Completes ITAAC Closure Verification
- 1/13/11 Public Meeting – Demonstration Workshop
- 3/31/11 Public Meeting – Lessons Learned

Stage 1

- Objectives for Stage 1
 - Demonstrate the infrastructure that will be used for construction inspection
 - Demonstrate the communication paths for inspection, technical report reviews, and closure letters
- Progress in Stage 1
 - Simulated Inspection of Type Test
 - Simulated Inspection at Vogtle
 - Simulated Inspection at Westinghouse
 - Simulated Findings

Visit to Vogtle

- Reviewed Closure Documents for each ITAAC except D-RAP
- Discussion on Access to Documents
- Discussion on Potential Finding for Type Testing
- Discussion on Inspection Scheduling

Visit to Westinghouse

- Reviewed Closure Documents for all ITAAC, including D-RAP
- More Information Available for Review
 - Equipment Qualification
 - Injection Line Testing
 - Fault Current Analysis
- Closure Packages “In-Progress”

Simulated ITAAC Related Construction Finding

- EQ type tests for Fourth Stage ADS done at less than design basis peak temperature
- This would be a violation of 10 CFR 50.49 for failure to establish a temperature consistent with most severe design basis accident.
- Material to ITAAC because it did not consider conditions that would exist during a design basis accident (ITAAC 2.1.02.07a.i)

Simulated Construction Finding

- Load analysis for UPS did not address output power from inverter running on backup AC source
- This would be a violation of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion III for failure to correctly translate requirements into design specifications and drawings
- Not ITAAC related because it deals with AC

Are We on Track?

- Demonstrate the infrastructure that will be used for construction inspection
 - Inspection Plans
 - Inspection Scheduling
 - Report Writing
- Demonstrate the communication paths for inspection, technical report reviews, and closure letters
 - TAR Process
 - Stage 2 will be an Additional Test

Stage 2

- Objectives for Stage 2
 - Demonstrate the infrastructure that will be used for ITAAC closure verification
 - Demonstrate the communication paths for closure letters and additional inspection requests
 - Evaluate the surge in ITAAC submittals expected in the last year of construction
 - Simulate the issuance of *Federal Register* Notices

Preliminary Lessons Learned

Lesson Learned will be documented in a lessons learned report at the end of the demonstration

- Refinement of NRC internal TAR process
- Identifying technical branches to support inspections and HQ project managers for each site
- Availability of technical reports for NRC review
- Expected contents of ITAAC closure packages and letters
- Level of details in the technical reports
- IT infrastructure for ITAAC closure