

August 31, 2009

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-001

Mr. Juan Peralta
Chief, Quality and Vendor Branch 1
Division of Construction Inspection and Operational Programs
Office of New Reactors

Subject: "REPLY TO A NOTICE OF VIOLATION"
Violation 99901356 / 2009-201-01

Dear Mr. Peralta.

I am writing in response to the **NOTICE OF VIOLATION # 99901356 / 2009-201-01** addressed to me in the NRC Inspection Report No. 9901356 / 2009-201.

In accordance with the instructions outlined within the subject Notice of Violation, Flowserve Corporation, Raleigh, NC offers the following reply:

- 1. The reason for this violation, as stated in the Flowserve Quality Problem Corrective Action Plan (QPCAP #517) issued during the NRC Inspection (see attached) states: The Manager Quality Assurance (Chairman) of the 10CFR21 Committee failed to pay attention to the timeline requirements specified within Flowserve Plant Internal Operating Procedure (PIOP) 36-40-03-07; as a result Part 21 Evaluation #32 opened September 27, 2007, exceeded the 60 days from date of discovery, completion requirement, closed January 28, 2008 and Flowserve failed to submit an interim report to the NRC when the evaluation had not been completed within the sixty (60) days.
- 2. As stated in Flowserve QPCAP #517, the corrective steps that have been taken are:
 - a. The Manager, Quality Assurance attended the NRC Workshop on Vendor
 Oversight for New Reactor Construction Subject Presentation: 10CFR Part
 21: Requirements and Guidance presented by Kerry Kavanagh on December
 08, 2008. This NRC Workshop heightened the Manager, Quality Assurances'
 awareness to the time line requirements contained within 10CFR Part 21.

FLOWSERVE US INC. FLOW CONTROL DIVISION 1900 S SAUNDERS RALEIGH, NC 27603 USA

Telephone 919 831 0525 Facsimile: 919 831 3369 www.flowserve.com



b. As a result of the Manager, Quality Assurance heightened awareness Flowserve PIOP 36-40-03-07 was revised on February 16, 2009 (PIOP 36-40-03-08) to include a Timeline Checklist within the Part 21 Evaluation form, used by the Part 21 Evaluation Committee, to complete the evaluation process. Upon issuance of the revised PIOP 36-40-03-08 the other members of the Part 21 Evaluation committee (Robert Sherman – Manager Sales, James Tucker – Manager Engineering) were made aware of the Timeline Requirements via Training to the revised PIOP 36-40-03-08, as documented on "Procedure Review" records attached to QPCAP #517 as Objective Evidence of Training along with the NRC 10 CFR Part 21 Workshop Cover Page attesting to the Manager Quality Assurance participation and training along with his approval of PIOP 36-40-03-08.

A review of 10 CFR 21 Evaluations issued since the revision and addition of the Timeline Checklist to PIOP 36-40-03-08 revealed no further non conformances since that time. (See evidence of review dated 7/09/09 attached to QPCAP #517).

- 3. The corrective steps that have been taken as described in this response and Flowserve QPCAP #517 have been implemented and will avoid further violations.
- 4. Full compliance has been achieved since the implementation of Flowserve PIOP 36-40-03-08 dated February 16, 2009, as evidenced by the review of Flowserve Part 21 Evaluations issued since that time.

Respectfully Submitted,

Solut D. D

Robert D Barry

Manager, Quality Assurance

Flowserve US Inc Flow Control Division Raleigh, NC 27603

Attachments:

Flowserve Quality Problem Corrective Action Plan No 517 and supporting objective evidence.



1900 South Saunders Street P.O. Box 1961 Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

N^{o}	517
, , ,	J1 /

A'ı.	July 9, 2009	
IZE & FIGURE NO.:	USNRC Inspection Violation	QUALITY PROBLEM
'ART/VALVE NAME:	10 CFR Part 21	CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN
'ART / VALVE NUMBER		1
RACEABILITY NO.:	REJECT TICKET NO.:	RESPONSIBLE DEPT.: QA
NITIATED BY:R.D. Barry	Assigned to: R.D. Barry CODE MA	
	eted by QA or responsible Department)	Not Required – Reject Ticket Attached rt 21 Evaluation # 32, the evaluation process exceeded the
equired 60 days fro	m the date of discovery of the deviation or failure	e to comply, and an interim report had not been filed.
-		
Part 21 evaluation require	ed Yes No Department Manager Signature: R.	D.Barry Date: 7/9/09
The state of the s	POSED CORRECTIVE ACTION within 30 days, which is _8	
		failed to pay attention to the Timeline requirements specified
Within PIOP 36-40-03	3-07; as a result Part 21 Evaluation # 32 exceeded the	e 60 Day requirement. Corrective action would require the training
Of '' - Manager, Qual	ity Assurance in the Part 21 Time line requirement ar	nd revision of PIOP to include a checklist to maintain awareness
of the Timeline Requi	rements By	: R.D. Barry Date: 7/9/09
<u> 1868 - Angel Carriel Committe</u>	e el para de la companya de la companya de a como de la	by responsible Department):
		on December 10, 2008, which provided training to the Mgr, QA
And heightened aware	eness to the timeline requirements contained within 1	0 CFR Part 21. Reviewed 10CFR 21 Evaluations issued since the
Revision and addition	of the PIOP Checklist, with no further non conforma	inces noted.
		250 7/9/00
REVIEW/TRAIN RESPO	By NSIBLE INDIVIDUAL (If Applicable)	Date: //9/09
Training at NRC Ven	dor Seminar and revision, review and approval of PIC	OP 36-40-03-08 is sufficient to assure that future
10 CFR 21 Evaluation	as will be completed within the required time requirer	nents.
R.D. Barry	109/09	R. D. Barry 07/09/09
Name of Responsible Inc	The second secon	By: Date:
	PRRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN to be verified by Q.A within 30	
	·	are within Timeline Requirements specified in revised
PIOP 36-40-03-08. To	raining Documentation of participation at NRC Work	shop Dec. 10, 2008.
C .ECTIVE ACTION	REVIEWED BY: 2009	MCK 1/9/09
	Manager Quality Assurance or Designe	

10CFR21-40	Discovery 1/13/09	EUAL. Comp 1/14/09
10CFR21-41	2/19/09	3/26/09
10CFR21-42	3/2/09	3/20/09
10CFR21-43	3/23/09	3/30/09
10CFR21-44	4/3/09	4/15/09

H.D.D. 1/9/09

PIOP 36-40-03-08 REVISED 2/16/2009
10 CFR 21 EVALUATION COMMITTEE

Summary a Timelius - Checklist Added
+0 PIOP.



10 CFR Part 21: Requirements and Guidance

Kerri Kavanagh

Quality and Vendor Branch 1
Office of New Reactors
December 10, 2008

this is to document training of R.D. BARRY and PARTICIPATION At this NRC WORKSLOP. 2.D. Day 7/9/09.





Raleigh, NC

EXHIBIT IV

 Procedure No.
 36-40-03-08

 Effective Date
 12/12/77

 Revision Date
 1/26/2009

TITLE

10CFR21 Evaluation Committee Summary and Timeline - TYPICAL

PLANT INTERNAL OPERATING PROCEDURE

Page 2 of 2

		10 CFR 21 EVALUATION COMMITTEE	10 CFR 21 FILE NO -	
		SUMMARY & TIMELINE This form is for internal use only. It will be used to track 10 CFR21 timeline requirements for the	e associated evaluation.	j
		DATE DEFECT IDENTIFIED:		
		CAN FLOWSERVE EVALUATE? Y / N DATE DETERMINE	D: .	
		If no, the deadline to notify all potentially affected parties is 5 days		
		IS THE ABOVE ANSWER "NO"? DATE NOTIFIED:		
		If the evaluation is n't complete, the NRC to get interim report within 60	days of identification.	
		WILL EVALUATION TAKE <60 DAYS? Y/N INTERI	M DUE:	
		WAS INTERIM REPORT SUBMITTED? Y/N DATE SU	BMITTED:	
		ACTION DATE	SIGNATURE	
		EVALUATION COMPLETE: BY:		•
		REPORTABLE CONDITION? Y/N Freportable, a director or responsible officer must be notified.	i within <u>5 days</u> .	
	·	OFFICER NOTIFIED: BY: The director or responsible officer must make initial NRC notification	n by fax within 2 days.	
		NRC NOTIFIED: BY:		
		FAX RECEIPT CONFIRMED: BY: NRC Receipt of the fax must be confirmed by pho		
		CUSTOMERS NOTIFIED: BY:		
		A complete written report is due to the NRC within 30 days of every FULL REPORT TO NRC: BY:	uluation completion.	
		WEBSITE VERIFIED: Receipt of the complete report shall be verified by checking to	ke NRC website	
		ALL RECORDS ASSOCIATED WITH EVALUATION AND OF 10CFR21 ISSUES MUST BE RETAINED A MINIMU		
,		THESE RECORDS SHALL BE RETAINED UNTIL:		
			<u> </u>	
	!			

APPROVALS: GENERAL MANAGER _____ DEPARMENT MANAGER _____