



Entergy Operations, Inc.
1448 S.R. 333
Russellville, AR 72802
Tel 479-858-4619

Dale E. James
Manager, Licensing
Nuclear Safety Assurance
Arkansas Nuclear One

0CAN050803

May 8, 2008

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for 2007
Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-313, 50-368, and 72-13 (ISFSI)
License Nos. DPR-51 and NPF-6

REFERENCES: 1. Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1 Technical Specification 5.6.2
2. Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2 Technical Specification 6.6.2

Dear Sir or Madam:

The referenced Arkansas Nuclear One (ANO) Technical Specifications require the submittal of an annual radiological environmental operating report for the previous year by May 15 of each year. Attached is the subject ANO report for the calendar year 2007.

This report fulfills the reporting requirements referenced above.

The radionuclides detected by the radiological environmental monitoring program during 2007 were significantly below regulatory limits. The operation of the ANO station during 2007 had no harmful effects nor resulted in any irreversible damage to the local environment.

Based on ANO's review, no environmental samples from the monitoring program equaled or exceeded the reporting levels for radioactivity concentration due to ANO effluents when averaged over any calendar quarter. Therefore, the 2007 results did not require any Radiological Monitoring Program Special Reports.

This submittal contains no commitments.

Should you have any questions regarding this report, please contact me.

Sincerely,



Dale E. James
Manager, Licensing

DEJ/rwc

Attachment: Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for 2007

cc: Mr. Elmo E. Collins
Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region IV
612 E. Lamar Blvd., Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-4125

NRC Senior Resident Inspector
Arkansas Nuclear One
P. O. Box 310
London, AR 72847

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Mr. Alan B. Wang
MS O-7 D1
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Mr. Bernard R. Bevill
Director Division of Radiation
Control and Emergency Management
Arkansas Department of Health & Human Services
P.O. Box 1437
Slot H-30
Little Rock, AR 72203-1437

Attachment

0CAN050803

**Annual Radiological Environmental Operating
Report for 2007**

ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE - UNITS 1 AND 2

ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT FOR 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	7
1.0 INTRODUCTION	10
1.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program	10
1.2 Pathways Monitored	10
1.3 Land Use Census	11
2.0 INTERPRETATION AND TRENDS OF RESULTS	21
2.1 Air Particulate and Radioiodine Sample Results	21
2.2 Thermoluminescent Dosimetry Sample Results	21
2.3 Water Sample Results	22
2.4 Sediment Sample Results	23
2.5 Milk Sample Results	23
2.6 Fish Sample Results	23
2.7 Food Product Sample Results	24
2.8 Land Use Census Results	24
2.9 Interlaboratory Comparison Results	24
3.0 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY	27
3.1 2007 Program Results Summary	27

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1.1	RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING PROGRAM	12
TABLE 2.1	2007 LAND USE CENSUS	25
TABLE 3.1	RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY	28

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1	SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES – NEAR FIELD	19
FIGURE 1-2	SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES – FAR FIELD	20
FIGURE 2-1	TLD RADIATION DOSE	26

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT 1	2007 RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING REPORT SUMMARY OF MONITORING RESULTS	32
ATTACHMENT 2	INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM	44
ATTACHMENT 3	SEDIMENT DOSE CALCULATIONS	48

Summary

The Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report (AREOR) presents data obtained through analyses of environmental samples collected for Arkansas Nuclear One's (ANO's) Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) for the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007. This report fulfills the requirements of ANO Unit 1 Technical Specification 5.6.2 and Unit 2 Technical Specification 6.6.2.

During 2007 as in previous years, ANO detected radionuclides attributable to plant operations at the discharge location (Station 8) where previously monitored liquid radioactive effluent from the plant is periodically discharged in accordance with the regulatory criteria established in the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM). ANO personnel routinely monitor results from this area in order to note any trends. The review of results from this area indicates the following:

- Tritium levels in the surface water media continue to be below regulatory reporting limits and are consistent with concentrations that would typically be seen at this location as discussed in Section 2.3 of this AREOR.
- Cesium-137 levels in the sediment media are not demonstrating any consistent increase in comparison to previous years. Review indicates that results for 2007 were within the range of previous operational levels as discussed in Section 2.4 of this AREOR.

Gross beta concentrations at the Station 14 (City of Russellville) indicator drinking water location continue to remain consistent with previous operational measurements and similar to the levels detected at the Station 57 (City of Danville) control drinking water location.

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

ANO established the REMP prior to the station becoming operational (1974) to provide data on background radiation and radioactivity normally present in the area. ANO has continued to monitor the environment by sampling air, water, sediment, fish and food products, as well as measuring radiation directly. ANO also samples milk if milk-producing animals are present commercially within five miles of the plant.

The REMP includes sampling indicator and control locations within an approximately 20-mile radius of the plant. The REMP utilizes indicator locations near the site to show any increases or buildup of radioactivity that might occur due to station operation, and control locations farther away from the site to indicate the presence of only naturally occurring radioactivity. ANO personnel compare indicator results with control and preoperational results to assess any impact ANO operation might have had on the surrounding environment.

In 2007, ANO personnel collected environmental samples for radiological analysis. They compared results of indicator locations with control locations and previous studies and concluded that overall no significant relationship exists between ANO operation and effect on the plant environs. The review of 2007 data, in many cases, showed undetectable radiation levels in the environment and in all instances, no definable trends related to significant pathways associated with ANO.

Harmful Effects or Irreversible Damage

The REMP monitoring did not detect any harmful effects or evidence of irreversible damage in 2007. Therefore, no analysis or planned course of action to alleviate problems was necessary.

Reporting Levels

ANO's review indicates that no samples equaled or exceeded reporting levels for radioactivity concentration in environmental samples due to ANO effluents, as outlined in Units 1 and 2 Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) Table 2.6-3, when averaged over any calendar quarter. Therefore, 2007 results did not trigger any Radiological Monitoring Program Special Reports.

Radioactivity Not Attributable to ANO

The ANO REMP has detected radioactivity attributable to other sources. These include the 25th Chinese nuclear test explosion in 1980 and the radioactivity plume release due to reactor core degradation at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant in 1986. Prior to 1981, the ANO REMP detected radioactivity resulting from nuclear weapons testing, with Cesium-137 continuing to be periodically detected.

Comparison to Federal and State Programs

ANO personnel compared REMP data to state monitoring programs as results became available. Historically, the programs used for comparison have included the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) thermoluminescent dosimetry (TLD) Direct Radiation Monitoring Network and the Arkansas Department of Health (ADH).

The NRC TLD Network Program was discontinued in 1998. Historically these results have compared to those from the ANO REMP. ANO TLD results continue to remain similar to the historical average and continue to verify that plant operation is not affecting the ambient radiation levels in the environment.

The ADH and the ANO REMP entail similar radiological environmental monitoring program requirements. These programs include collecting air samples and splitting or sharing sample media such as water, sediment and fish. Both programs have obtained similar results over previous years.

Sample Deviations

- **Milk**

The REMP did not include milk sampling within five miles (8 km) of ANO in 2007 due to unavailability. ANO Units 1 and 2 ODCM require collection of milk samples if available commercially within 8 km (5 miles) of the plant. ANO personnel collected vegetation samples to monitor the ingestion pathway, as specified in the ODCM, because of milk unavailability.

- **Required Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) Values**

LLDs during this reporting period were within the acceptable limits required by Table 2.6-2 of the ANO Units 1 and 2 ODCM.

- **Air Samples**

Listed below are air sampler deviations that occurred during 2007 due to electrical power outages and equipment failure. These deviations did not result in the exceedance of the LLD values specified in the ODCM. As described in footnote (a) to ANO Units 1 and 2 ODCM Table 2.6-1, deviations are permitted from the required sampling schedule due to malfunction of sampling equipment and other legitimate reasons.

Station	Sampling Period	Comment
56	12/21/06 – 01/02/07	Pump found not running. Out of service pump was replaced with a new pump.
2	02/20/07 – 03/06/07	Air sample station totalizer not operating. Sample pump running. Totalizer reset and started.
2	03/06/07 – 03/20/07	Air sample station totalizer not operating. Totalizer replaced
56	04/17/07 – 05/01/07	Air sample station totalizer not operating. Electric motor volume totalizer was replaced with digital volume totalizer.

- **Missed Samples**

One first quarter TLD (Station 148) was discovered missing on April 11, 2007 due to the road sign post being removed. The second quarter TLD was placed into service by ANO personnel once discovered. This loss was an isolated instance that did not recur during the year. The recovery rate for TLDs during 2007 was 99% (95 of 96).

After deploying the second quarter environmental TLD's, it was discovered that the deployed set had received a significant transit dose. This dose proved to render the deployed TLD's useless and replacements were sent to ANO by the vendor. This event contributed to a period of 14 days where the direct radiation pathway was not monitored between the removal of the first quarter TLD's and the deployment of the replacement second quarter TLD's.

- **Unavailable Results**

ANO received analytical results in adequate time for inclusion in this report. In addition, ANO's review identified no missing results.

Program Modifications

ANO made no modifications to the REMP during 2007.

Attachments

Attachment 1 contains results of air, TLD, water, sediment, fish, and food product samples collected in 2007. TLDs were analyzed by vendor (AREVA). All remaining samples were analyzed by River Bend Station's (RBS) Environmental Laboratory.

Attachment 2 also contains RBS' participation in the interlaboratory comparison program during 2007.

Attachment 3 contains dose calculations performed for sediment using a generalized equation from Regulatory Guide 1.109, "Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluents for the Purpose of Evaluating Compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I."

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

ANO established the REMP to ensure that plant operating controls properly function to minimize any associated radiation endangerment to human health or the environment. The REMP is designed for:

- Analyzing important pathways for anticipated types and quantities of radionuclides released into the environment.
- Considering the possibility of a buildup of long-lived radionuclides in the environment and identifying physical and biological accumulations that may contribute to human exposures.
- Considering the potential radiation exposure to plant and animal life in the environment surrounding ANO.
- Correlating levels of radiation and radioactivity in the environment with radioactive releases from station operation.

1.2 Pathways Monitored

The airborne, direct radiation, waterborne and ingestion pathways are monitored as required by the ANO ODCM. A description of the ANO REMP utilized to monitor the exposure pathways is described in Table 1.1 and shown in Figures 1-1 and 1-2.

Section 2.0 of this report provides a discussion of 2007 sampling results and Section 3.0 provides a summary of results for the monitored exposure pathways.

1.3 Land Use Census

ANO personnel conduct a land use census biannually (once every two years) as required by ANO Units 1 and Unit 2 ODCM Section 2.6.2. The purpose of this census is to identify changes in uses of land within five miles of ANO that would require modifications to the REMP or ODCM. The most important criteria during this census are to determine location in each sector of the nearest:

- 1) Residence
- 2) Animal milked for human consumption
- 3) Garden of greater than 500 square feet producing fresh leafy (broadleaf) vegetables *

The method used by ANO personnel for conducting the land use census is as follows:

- ANO personnel conducted door-to-door (drive by) field surveys in order to locate the nearest resident in each meteorological sector.
- Consultation with local agricultural authorities is used for the identification of commercial milk providers within five-miles of the Unit-1 reactor building.
- As a result of these surveys, the following information is obtained in each meteorological sector:
 - 1) Nearest permanent residence
 - 2) Nearest milking animal
- ANO personnel identify locations on the map, measure distances to ANO (or use a GPS system) and record results.
- Locations, if any, are identified which yield a calculated dose or dose commitments greater than those currently calculated in the ODCM.
- ANO personnel compare results to previous census.

* ANO personnel do not perform a garden census since ODCM Section 2.6.2 allows the routine sampling of broadleaf vegetation in the highest D/Q sector near the site boundary in lieu of the garden census.

Table 1.1
Radiological Environmental Sampling Program

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Airborne	<p><u>Radioiodine and Particulates</u> 2 samples close to the Site Boundary, in (or near) different sectors with the highest calculated annual average ground level D/Q.</p>	<p>Station 2 (243° - 0.5 miles) - South of the sewage treatment plant.</p> <p>Station 56 (264° - 0.4 miles) – West end of the sewage treatment plant.</p>	<p>Continuous operation of sampler with sample collection as required by dust loading but at least once per 14 days.</p>	<p>Radioiodine Canister – Analyze at least once per 14 days for I-131.</p> <p>Particulate Sampler – Analyze for gross beta radioactivity following filter change.</p>
	<p><u>Radioiodine and Particulates</u> 1 sample from the vicinity of a community having the highest calculated annual average groundlevel D/Q.</p>	<p>Station 6 (111° - 6.8 miles) - Entergy local office in Russellville (305 South Knoxville Avenue).</p>		
	<p><u>Radioiodine and Particulates</u> 1 sample from a control location 15-30 km (10 – 20 miles) distance.</p>	<p>Station 7 (210° - 19.0 miles) – Entergy Supply Yard on Highway 10 in Danville.</p>		
	<p><u>Radioiodine and Particulates</u> One location sampled voluntarily by ANO.</p>	<p>Station 1 (88° - 0.5 miles) - Near the meteorology tower.</p>		

Table 1.1 (continued)

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	<p><u>Thermoluminescent dosimetry (TLDs)</u> 16 inner ring stations with two or more dosimeters in each meteorological sector in the general area of the Site Boundary</p>	<p>Station 1 (88° - 0.5 miles) - On a pole near the meteorology tower.</p> <p>Station 2 (243° - 0.5 miles) - South of the sewage treatment plant.</p> <p>Station 3 (5° - 0.7 miles) – West of ANO Gate #2 on Highway 333 (approximately 0.35 miles)</p> <p>Station 4 (181° - 0.5 miles) – West of May Cemetery entrance on south side of the road.</p> <p>Station 56 (264° - 0.4 miles) - West end of the sewage treatment plant.</p> <p>Station 108 (306° - 0.9 miles) - South on Flatwood Road on a utility pole.</p> <p>Station 109 (291° - 0.6 miles) - Utility pole across from the junction of Flatwood Road and Round Mountain Road.</p>	Once per 92 days.	Gamma Dose – Once per 92 days.

Table 1.1 (continued)

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Direct Radiation (continued)	<u>TLDs</u> (continued)	<p>Station 110 (138° - 0.8 miles) - Bunker Hill Lane on the first utility pole on the left.</p> <p>Station 145 (28° - 0.6 miles) - Near west entrance to the RERTC on a utility pole.</p> <p>Station 146 (45° - 0.6 miles) - South end of east parking lot at RERTC on a utility pole.</p> <p>Station 147 (61° - 0.6 miles) - West side of Bunker Hill Road, approximately 100 yards from intersection with State Highway 333.</p> <p>Station 148 (122° - 0.6 miles) - Intersection of Bunker Hill Road with Scott Lane on county road sign post.</p> <p>Station 149 (156° - 0.5 miles) - On a utility pole on the south side of May Road.</p>		

Table 1.1 (continued)

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Direct Radiation (continued)	TLDs (continued)	<p>Station 150 (205° - 0.6 miles) – North side of May Road on a utility pole past the McCurley Place turn.</p> <p>Station 151 (225° - 0.4 miles) – West side of sewage treatment plant near the lake on a metal post.</p> <p>Station 152 (338° - 0.8 miles) – South side of State Highway 333 on a road sign post.</p>		
	<p>TLDs (continued) 8 stations with two or more dosimeters in special interest areas such as population centers, nearby residences, schools, and in 1 – 2 areas to serve as control locations.</p>	<p>Station 6 (111° - 6.8 miles) - Entergy local office in Russellville (305 South Knoxville Avenue).</p> <p>Station 7 (210° - 19.0 miles) – Entergy Supply Yard on Highway 10 in Danville.</p> <p>Station 111 (120° - 2.0 miles) – Marina Road on a utility pole on the left just prior to curve.</p> <p>Station 116 (318° - 1.8 miles) - Highway 333 and Highway 64 in London on a utility pole north of the railroad tracks.</p>		

Table 1.1 (continued)

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Direct Radiation (continued)	TLDs (continued)	<p>Station 125 (46° - 8.7 miles) - College Street on a utility pole at the southeast corner of the red brick school building.</p> <p>Station 127 (100° - 5.2 miles) - Arkansas Tech Campus on a utility pole across from Paine Hall.</p> <p>Station 137 (151° - 8.2 miles) – On a speed limit sign on the right in front of the Morris R. Moore Arkansas National Guard Armory.</p> <p>Station 153 (304° - 9.2 miles) - Knoxville Elementary School near the school entrance gate on a utility pole.</p>		
Waterborne	<p>Surface Water 1 indicator location (influenced by plant discharge) 1 control location (uninfluenced by plant discharge)</p>	<p>Station 8 (166° - 0.2 miles) - Plant discharge canal.</p> <p>Station 10 (95° - 0.5 miles) – Plant intake canal.</p>	Once per 92 days.	Gamma isotopic and tritium analyses once per 92 days.
	<p>Drinking Water 1 indicator location (influenced by plant discharge) 1 control location (uninfluenced by plant discharge)</p>	<p>Station 14 (70° - 5.1 miles) - Russellville city water system from the Illinois Bayou.</p> <p>Station 57 (208° - 19.5 miles) - Danville public water supply treatment on Fifth Street.</p>	Once per 92 days.	I-131, gross beta, gamma isotopic and tritium analyses once per 92 days.

Table 1.1 (continued)

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Waterborne (continued)	<p><u>Sediment</u> 1 indicator location (influenced by plant discharge)</p> <p>1 control location (uninfluenced by plant discharge)</p>	<p>Station 8 (243° - 0.9 miles) - Plant discharge canal.</p> <p>Station 16 (287° - 5.5 miles) - Panther Bay on south side of Arkansas River across from mouth of Piney Creek.</p>	Once per 365 days.	Gamma isotopic analysis once per 365 days.
Ingestion	<p><u>Milk</u> 1 indicator sample location within 8 km (five-miles) distance if commercially available.</p> <p>1 control sample location at a distance of > 8 km, (five-miles) when an indicator exists.</p>	Currently, no available milking animals within 8 km of ANO.	Once per 92 days.	Gamma isotopic and I-131 analyses once per 92 days.
	<p><u>Fish</u> 1 sample of commercially and/or recreationally important species in vicinity of plant discharge.</p> <p>1 sample of same species in area not influenced by plant discharge.</p>	<p>Station 8 (212° - 0.5 miles) – Plant discharge canal.</p> <p>Station 16 (287° - 5.5 miles) - Panther Bay on south side of Arkansas River across from mouth of Piney Creek.</p>	Once per 365 days.	Gamma isotopic on edible portions once per 365 days.

Table 1.1 (continued)

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Ingestion (continued)	<p>Food Products 1 sample of broadleaf (edible or non-edible) near the Site Boundary from one of the highest anticipated annual average groundlevel D/Q sectors, if milk sampling is not performed.</p> <p>1 sample location of broadleaf vegetation (edible or non-edible) from a control location 15 – 30 km (10 – 20 miles) distant, if milk sampling is not performed.</p>	<p>Station 13 (273° - 0.5 miles) - West from ANO toward Gate 4 onto Flatwood Road.</p> <p>Station 55 (208° - 16.5 miles) – Intersection of Highway 27 and 154.</p>	Three per 365 days.	Gamma isotopic and I-131 analyses three times per 365 days.

FIGURE 1-1
SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES – NEAR FIELD





FIGURE 1-2
SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES – FAR FIELD



2.0 INTERPRETATION AND TRENDS OF RESULTS

2.1 Air Particulate and Radioiodine Sample Results

The REMP has detected radioactivity in the airborne pathway attributable to other sources. These include the 25th Chinese nuclear test explosion in 1980 and the radioactive plume release due to reactor core degradation at Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant in 1986.

During 2007, Iodine-131 was not detected in the radioiodine cartridges, as has been the case in previous years. In addition, indicator gross beta air particulate results for 2007 were within the range of levels obtained in previous years of the operational REMP and well below preoperational levels as seen below. Results are reported as annual average pCi/m³.

<u>Monitoring Period</u>	<u>Result</u>
2000 – 2006 (Minimum Value)	0.020
2007 Value	0.029
2000 – 2006 (Maximum Value)	0.030
Preoperational	0.050

In the absence of plant-related gamma radionuclides, gross beta activity is attributed to naturally occurring radionuclides. Table 3.1, which includes gross beta concentrations and provides a comparison of the indicator and control means and ranges, emphasizes the consistent trends seen in this pathway to support the presence of naturally occurring activity. Therefore, it can be concluded that the airborne pathway continues to be unaffected by ANO operations.

2.2 Thermoluminescent Dosimetry (TLD) Sample Results

ANO reports measured dose as net exposure (field reading less transit reading) normalized to 92 days and relies on comparison of the indicator locations to the control as a measure of plant impact. ANO's comparison of the inner ring and special interest area TLD results to the control, as seen in Table 3.1, identified no noticeable trend that would indicate that the ambient radiation levels are being affected by plant operations. In addition, the inner ring value of 7.51 mrem shown in Table 3.1 is within the historical bounds of 2000 – 2006 annual average results, which have ranged from 6.7 to 8.8 mrem.

Gamma radiation dose in the reporting period was further compared to historical control location readings for previous years as shown in Figure 2-1. ANO's comparison of the results to the control indicates that the ambient radiation levels are unaffected by plant operations. Although the third quarter readings for TLD Stations 1, 2, 56, 108, 109 and 151 shown in Figure 2-1 was above the upper (+) three standard deviation range value of 9.7 mrem for the historical maximum control location, ANO considers the difference to be insignificant since the dose rates remain well below the limitations of 10 CFR 20.1301(a)(1) and 10 CFR 20.1302(b)(2)(ii), and since there has been no identifiable trends associated with these stations. In addition, ANO utilized a different vendor for TLD processing in 2007. Therefore, these increases can also be attributed to differences in dosimeter processing.

Overall, ANO concluded that the ambient radiation levels are not being affected by plant operations.

2.3 Water Sample Results

Analytical results for 2007 surface water and drinking water samples were similar to those reported in previous years.

Surface water samples were collected and analyzed for gamma radionuclides and tritium. Gamma radionuclides were below detectable limits which is consistent with results seen in previous operational years. Tritium continues to be detected at the indicator location (Station 8) where previously monitored liquid radioactive effluent from the plant is periodically discharged in accordance with the regulatory criteria established in the ODCM. However, the levels detected are consistent with concentrations that would typically be seen at this location as shown below. Results are reported as annual average pCi/l.

<u>Monitoring Period</u>	<u>Concentration</u>
2000 – 2006 (Minimum Value)	272.0
2007 Value	660.3
2000 – 2006 (Maximum Value)	876.3
Preoperational Value	200.0

ANO personnel have noted no definable increasing trends associated with the tritium levels at the discharge location. Levels detected during 2007 and previous operational years have been well below regulatory reporting limits. Therefore, the operation of ANO had no definable impact on this waterborne pathway during 2007 and levels of radionuclides remain similar to those obtained in previous operational years.

Drinking water samples were collected from two locations (indicator and control). Although ANO personnel utilize Station 14 (City of Russellville) as an indicator location due to the potential for the drinking water pathway to exist, the City of Russellville has not withdrawn water from Lake Dardanelle in the past several years.

Drinking water samples were analyzed for gross beta radionuclides, Iodine-131, gamma radionuclides and tritium. Gamma radionuclides, Iodine-131 and tritium concentrations were below the Lower Level of Detection (LLD) limits at the indicator and control locations, which is consistent with preoperational and operational years. Gross beta concentrations at the indicator and control locations are similar as shown in Table 3.1. Listed below is a comparison of 2007 indicator results to preoperational and operational years. Results are reported as annual average pCi/l.

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2000 – 2006</u>	<u>Preoperational</u>
Gross Beta	5.58	3.54	2.0
Iodine-131	< LLD	< LLD	< LLD
Gammas	< LLD	< LLD	< LLD
Tritium	< LLD	< LLD	200.0

ANO personnel have noted no definable trends associated with drinking water results at the indicator location. Therefore, the operation of ANO had no definable impact on this waterborne pathway during 2007 and levels of radionuclides remain similar to those obtained in previous operational years.

2.4 Sediment Sample Results

Sediment samples were collected from two locations in 2007 and analyzed for gamma radionuclides. As in previous years, Cesium-137 attributable to ANO was detected in the discharge sediment indicator location (Station 8) where previously monitored liquid radioactive effluent from the plant is periodically discharged in accordance with the regulatory criteria established in the ODCM. Although it is anticipated that radionuclides would be detected at this location since sediment particles provide a natural binding mechanism, ANO personnel have noted no definable consistent trends associated with this radionuclide at the discharge location. Cesium-137 results for 2007 were actually below the minimum range of previous operational levels as seen below. Results are reported as annual average pCi/kg.

<u>Monitoring Period</u>	<u>Concentration</u>
2000 – 2006 (Minimum Value)	97.78
2007 Value	67.41
2000 – 2006 (Maximum Value)	1170.0

Since reporting levels for radionuclides in sediment have not been established, an evaluation of potential dose to the public from this media was performed as shown in Attachment 2. The annual maximum dose from Cesium-137 to the skin and total body was calculated to be < 0.01 millirem.

Design objectives given in 10CFR50, Appendix I for liquid effluents are annual doses of ≤ 3 millirem total body and ≤ 10 millirem any organ. The values of < 0.01 millirem for the skin and total body are well within the design objective criteria. Therefore, the level of Cesium-137 detected in 2007 had no significant impact on the environment or public by this waterborne pathway.

2.5 Milk Sample Results

Milk samples were not collected during 2007 due to the unavailability of indicator locations within five-miles of ANO.

2.6 Fish Sample Results

Fish samples were collected from two locations and analyzed for gamma radionuclides. In 2007, gamma radionuclides were below detectable limits which are consistent with the preoperational monitoring period and operational results since 1997. Therefore, based on these measurements, ANO operations had no significant radiological impact upon the environment or public by this ingestion pathway.

2.7 Food Product Sample Results

The REMP has detected radionuclides prior to 1990 that are attributable to other sources. These include the radioactive plume release due to reactor core degradation at Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant in 1986 and atmospheric weapons testing.

In 2007, food product samples were collected when available from two locations and analyzed for Iodine-131 and gamma radionuclides. The 2007 levels remained undetectable, as has been the case in previous years. Therefore, based on these measurements, ANO operations had no significant radiological impact upon the environment or public by this ingestion pathway.

2.8 Land Use Census Results

The land use census did not identify any new locations during the September 2007 survey that yielded a calculated dose or dose commitment greater than those currently calculated (see Table 2.1).

Also, the land use census identified no milk-producing animals within a five-mile radius of the plant site. ANO personnel chose not to perform a garden census in 2007, which is allowed by ANO Units 1 and 2 ODCM Section 2.6.2, in lieu of broadleaf vegetation sampling in the meteorological sector (Sector 13) with the highest D/Q.

2.9 Interlaboratory Comparison Results

RBS' Environmental Laboratory analyzed interlaboratory comparison samples for ANO to fulfill the requirements of ANO Units 1 and 2 ODCM Section 2.6.3. Attachment 1, 2007 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Report, contains these results. ANO's review of RBS' interlaboratory comparison indicated that 98% of the sample results (40 of 41) for accuracy and precision were within the acceptable control limits of the three normalized deviations. There was one result outside the control limits for accuracy in the 2007 Interlaboratory Comparison program participation studies. **The RBS normalized-deviation for nuclide Mn-54 in a gamma isotopic water analysis, analytics sample number E5388-125 of 6/14/2007, was +3.89, which is outside the control limit of ± 3.00 for accuracy.** ANO's and RBS' review indicated no impact on previously reported data. Attachment 2 provides additional discussion regarding the sample result outside the acceptable control limit.


TABLE 2.1

2007 Land Use Census

Nearest Residence Within Five Miles

Direction	Sector	Distance (miles)
N	1	0.9
NNE	2	1.3
NE	3	0.9
ENE	4	0.8
E	5	0.8
ESE	6	0.8
SE	7	0.8
SSE	8	0.8
S	9	0.8
SSW	10	0.7
SW	11	2.8
WSW	12	0.7
W	13	0.8
WNW	14	0.8
NW	15	1.0
NNW	16	0.9

FIGURE 2-1
TLD RADIATION DOSE



3.0 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

3.1 2007 Program Results Summary

Table 3.1 summarizes the 2007 REMP results. ANO personnel did not use values reported as less than the lower limit of detection (<LLD) when determining ranges and means for indicator and control locations.

TABLE 3.1

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: **ANO - Units 1 and 2** Docket No: **50-313 and 50-368** .
 Location of Facility: **Pope County, Arkansas** Reporting Period: **January - December 2007**

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses ^a	LLD ^b	Indicator Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Number of Non-routine Results ^e
				Location ^d	Mean (F) ^c [Range]		
Air Particulates (pCi/m³)	GB – 135	0.01	0.029 (81 / 81) [0.021 – 0.046]	Station 1 (88°, 0.5 mi)	0.030 (27 / 27) [0.023 - 0.046]	0.027 (54 / 54) [0.019 - 0.044]	0
Airborne Iodine (pCi/m³)	I-131 – 135	0.07	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
Inner Ring TLDs (mR/Qtr)	Gamma – 63	(f)	7.51 (63 / 63) [4.25 – 11.51]	Station 56 (264°, 0.4 mi)	9.3 (4 / 4) [7.64 – 11.51]	N/A	0
Special Interest TLDs (mR/Qtr)	Gamma – 28	(f)	6.73 (28 / 28) [4.06 – 9.59]	Station 137 (151°, 8.2 mi)	7.9 (4 / 4)[6.75 – 9.22]	N/A	0
Control TLD (mR/Qtr)	Gamma – 4	(f)	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.9 (4 / 4) [5.94 – 8.79]	0

TABLE 3.1 (continued)

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses ^a	LLD ^b	Indicator Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Number of Non-routine Results ^e
				Location ^d	Mean (F) ^c [Range]		
Surface Water (pCi/l)	H-3 – 8	3000	660.3 (3 / 4) [251.0 – 973.9]	Station 8 (166°, 0.2 mi)	660.3 (3 / 4) [251.0 – 973.9]	< LLD	0
	GS – 24						
	Mn-54	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Fe-59	30	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Co-58	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Co-60	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Zn-65	30	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Zr-95	30	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Nb-95	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	I-131	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Cs-134	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	18	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Ba-140	60	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	La-140	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0

TABLE 3.1 (continued)

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses ^a	LLD ^b	Indicator Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Number of Non-routine Results ^e
				Location ^d	Mean (F) ^c [Range]		
Drinking Water (pCi/l)	GB – 8	4	1.14 (2 / 4) [0.99 – 1.28]	Station 14 (70°, 5.1 mi)	1.14 (2 / 4) [0.99 – 1.28]	1.78 (4 / 4) [0.83 – 2.26]	0
	I-131 – 8	1.0	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	H-3 – 8	2000	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	GS – 8						
	Mn-54	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Fe-59	30	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Co-58	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Co-60	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Zn-65	30	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Zr-95	30	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Nb-95	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Cs-134	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	18	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Ba-140	60	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
La-140	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0	
Bottom Sediment (pCi/kg)	GS – 2						
	Cs-134	150	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	180	67.41 (1 / 1) [NA]	Station 8 (243°, 0.9 mi)	67.41 (1 / 1) [NA]	< LLD	0

TABLE 3.1 (continued)

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses ^a	LLD ^b	Indicator Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Number of Non-routine Results ^e
				Location ^d	Mean (F) ^c [Range]		
Fish (pCi/kg)	GS – 8						
	Mn-54	130	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Fe-59	260	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Co-58	130	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Co-60	130	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Zn-65	260	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Cs-134	130	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	150	< LLD	N/A	N/A	< LLD	0
Food Products (pCi/kg)	I-131 – 6	60	< LLD	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	GS – 6						
	Cs-134	60	< LLD	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	Cs-137	80	< LLD	N/A	N/A	N/A	0

a GB = Gross beta; I-131 = Iodine-131; H-3 = Tritium; GS = Gamma scan.

b LLD = Required lower limit of detection based on ANO Units 1 and 2 ODCM Tables 2.6-2.

c Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parenthesis (F).

d Locations are specified (1) by name and (2) degrees relative to reactor site.

e Non-routine results are those which exceed ten times the control station value. If no control station value is available, the result is considered non-routine if it exceeds ten times the preoperational value for the location.

f LLD is not defined in ANO Units 1 and 2 ODCM Tables 2.6-2.

Attachment 1
2007 Radiological Monitoring Report
Summary of Monitoring Results

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 1.1	AIR PARTICULATE	34
TABLE 1.2	RADIOIODINE CARTRIDGE	35
TABLE 2.1	THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETERS (INNER RING)	36
TABLE 2.2	THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETERS (SPECIAL INTEREST AREAS)	37
TABLE 3.1	SURFACE WATER (GAMMA ISOTOPIC)	38
TABLE 3.2	SURFACE WATER (TRITIUM)	40
TABLE 4.1	DRINKING WATER (GROSS BETA, I-131 AND GAMMA ISOTOPIC)	41
TABLE 4.2	DRINKING WATER (TRITIUM)	42
TABLE 5.1	SEDIMENT	42
TABLE 6.1	FISH	43
TABLE 7.1	FOOD PRODUCTS	43

Table 1.1
 Sample Type: **Air Particulate**
 Analysis: Gross Beta
 Units: pCi/m³

Start Date	End Date	Station 1 * (Indicator)	Station 2 (Indicator)	Station 56 (Indicator)	Station 6 (Control)	Station 7 (Control)
	Required LLD →	<u>0.01</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>0.01</u>
12/19/2006	01/02/2007	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.024	0.024
01/02/2007	01/10/2007	0.028	0.029	0.025	0.022	0.027
01/10/2007	01/23/2007	0.026	0.024	0.026	0.020	0.025
01/23/2007	02/06/2007	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.029	0.035
02/06/2007	02/20/2007	0.033	0.032	0.034	0.027	0.039
02/20/2007	03/06/2007	0.027	0.026	0.026	0.024	0.028
03/06/2007	03/20/2007	0.028	0.029	0.030	0.022	0.028
03/20/2007	04/03/2007	0.025	0.026	0.023	0.021	0.023
04/03/2007	04/17/2007	0.027	0.025	0.026	0.021	0.026
04/17/2007	05/01/2007	0.027	0.027	0.026	0.025	0.026
05/01/2007	05/15/2007	0.025	0.024	0.025	0.021	0.023
05/15/2007	05/29/2007	0.027	0.026	0.029	0.024	0.029
05/29/2007	06/12/2007	0.024	0.024	0.025	0.020	0.022
06/12/2007	06/26/2007	0.028	0.027	0.031	0.026	0.026
06/26/2007	07/10/2007	0.023	0.021	0.022	0.019	0.020
07/10/2007	07/24/2007	0.023	0.023	0.022	0.019	0.021
07/24/2007	08/07/2007	0.037	0.039	0.036	0.031	0.035
08/07/2007	08/21/2007	0.039	0.040	0.039	0.033	0.037
08/21/2007	09/04/2007	0.032	0.032	0.031	0.025	0.032
09/04/2007	09/18/2007	0.025	0.024	0.024	0.022	0.025
09/18/2007	10/02/2007	0.037	0.036	0.035	0.029	0.034
10/02/2007	10/16/2007	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.020	0.022
10/16/2007	10/30/2007	0.024	0.026	0.024	0.022	0.023
10/30/2007	11/13/2007	0.046	0.046	0.045	0.038	0.044
11/13/2007	11/27/2007	0.026	0.025	0.025	0.019	0.023
11/27/2007	12/11/2007	0.040	0.038	0.037	0.030	0.036
12/11/2007	12/20/2007	0.037	0.035	0.034	0.030	0.035

* Station with highest annual mean.

Table 1.2
 Sample Type: **Radioiodine Cartridge**
 Analysis: Iodine-131
 Units: pCi/m³

Start Date	End Date	Station 1 (Indicator)	Station 2 (Indicator)	Station 56 (Indicator)	Station 6 (Control)	Station 7 (Control)
		Required LLD →	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07
12/19/2006	01/02/2007	<0.020	<0.021	<0.018	<0.014	<0.018
01/02/2007	01/10/2007	<0.025	<0.023	<0.022	<0.024	<0.022
01/10/2007	01/23/2007	<0.024	<0.023	<0.021	<0.022	<0.025
01/23/2007	02/06/2007	<0.012	<0.012	<0.013	<0.014	<0.015
02/06/2007	02/20/2007	<0.026	<0.025	<0.023	<0.018	<0.025
02/20/2007	03/06/2007	<0.018	<0.016	<0.019	<0.019	<0.023
03/06/2007	03/20/2007	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015	<0.014	<0.016
03/20/2007	04/03/2007	<0.018	<0.023	<0.019	<0.024	<0.015
04/03/2007	04/17/2007	<0.022	<0.018	<0.021	<0.017	<0.025
04/17/2007	05/01/2007	<0.020	<0.022	<0.018	<0.018	<0.019
05/01/2007	05/15/2007	<0.013	<0.009	<0.015	<0.016	<0.010
05/15/2007	05/29/2007	<0.017	<0.018	<0.016	<0.015	<0.015
05/29/2007	06/12/2007	<0.019	<0.020	<0.020	<0.023	<0.015
06/12/2007	06/26/2007	<0.013	<0.015	<0.017	<0.016	<0.009
06/26/2007	07/10/2007	<0.016	<0.016	<0.018	<0.018	<0.010
07/10/2007	07/24/2007	<0.017	<0.018	<0.022	<0.017	<0.017
07/24/2007	08/07/2007	<0.019	<0.020	<0.019	<0.018	<0.016
08/07/2007	08/21/2007	<0.013	<0.014	<0.016	<0.015	<0.013
08/21/2007	09/04/2007	<0.022	<0.017	<0.020	<0.019	<0.015
09/04/2007	09/18/2007	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009
09/18/2007	10/02/2007	<0.011	<0.017	<0.015	<0.013	<0.012
10/02/2007	10/16/2007	<0.019	<0.019	<0.020	<0.019	<0.015
10/16/2007	10/30/2007	<0.020	<0.020	<0.016	<0.023	<0.017
10/30/2007	11/13/2007	<0.016	<0.017	<0.017	<0.019	<0.019
11/13/2007	11/27/2007	<0.016	<0.020	<0.018	<0.018	<0.016
11/27/2007	12/11/2007	<0.018	<0.019	<0.015	<0.017	<0.014
12/11/2007	12/20/2007	<0.034	<0.044	<0.045	<0.033	<0.047

Table 2.1
 Sample Type: **Thermoluminescent Dosimeters**
 Analysis: Gamma Dose
 Units: mrem/Qtr

Inner Ring (Indicators)					
Station	1st Qtr '07 (mrem)	2nd Qtr '07 (mrem)	3rd Qtr '07 (mrem)	4th Qtr '07 (mrem)	Annual Mean '07 (mrem)
3	4.90	4.76	6.77	4.25	5.2
145	6.88	6.74	9.81	6.84	7.6
146	8.46	5.98	8.70	7.00	7.5
147	6.27	5.20	8.35	5.89	6.4
1	7.33	6.63	10.47	7.46	8.0
148	Missing	5.82	9.18	7.01	7.3
110	6.87	6.22	8.20	6.39	6.9
149	7.08	6.00	9.68	6.66	7.4
4	7.33	6.07	9.54	6.47	7.4
150	7.96	6.50	8.84	6.83	7.5
151	7.48	6.97	10.40	6.89	7.9
2	7.68	6.91	10.21	6.80	7.9
56*	9.13	7.64	11.51	8.86	9.3
109	8.13	7.12	10.42	7.43	8.3
108	7.77	6.94	10.75	7.03	8.1
152	7.89	5.88	9.24	6.50	7.4

* Station with highest annual mean.

Table 2.2
 Sample Type: **Thermoluminescent Dosimeters**
 Analysis: Gamma Dose
 Units: mrem/Qtr

Special Interest Areas - (Population Centers & Schools)					
Station	1st Qtr '07 (mrem)	2nd Qtr '07 (mrem)	3rd Qtr '07 (mrem)	4th Qtr '07 (mrem)	Annual Mean '07 (mrem)
6	7.17	5.18	8.74	5.94	6.8
111	5.07	4.96	6.36	4.87	5.3
116	7.46	6.14	9.30	8.34	7.8
125	4.75	4.06	5.30	4.46	4.6
127	7.76	6.54	9.59	6.97	7.7
137*	8.14	6.75	9.22	7.32	7.9
153	7.55	5.59	8.70	6.25	7.0

* Stations with highest annual mean.

Special Interest Areas – (Control)					
Station	1st Qtr '07 (mrem)	2nd Qtr '07 (mrem)	3rd Qtr '07 (mrem)	4th Qtr '07 (mrem)	Annual Mean '07 (mrem)
7	6.84	6.12	8.79	5.94	6.9

Table 3.1
 Sample Type: **Surface Water**
 Analysis: Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Start Date	End Date	Mn-54	Fe-59	Co-58	Co-60	Zn-65	Zr-95	Nb-95	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
		Required LLD →	15	30	15	15	30	30	15	15	15	18	60	15
Station 8 (Indicator)	12/31/2006	01/31/2007	<3.25	<8.16	<3.94	<3.84	<9.02	<6.58	<4.70	<6.71	<4.54	<4.13	<18.47	<4.89
Station 10 (Control)	12/31/2006	01/31/2007	<3.85	<6.66	<3.75	<2.95	<5.91	<7.14	<4.85	<7.79	<4.02	<3.60	<17.24	<6.87
Station 8 (Indicator)	01/31/2007	02/28/2007	<2.24	<5.79	<2.41	<2.45	<4.63	<4.13	<3.13	<14.06	<2.26	<2.15	<23.28	<7.45
Station 10 (Control)	01/31/2007	02/28/2007	<2.17	<5.49	<2.45	<2.28	<3.96	<4.51	<3.58	<14.61	<2.23	<2.33	<21.68	<8.28
Station 8 (Indicator)	02/28/2007	03/31/2007	<5.74	<14.72	<5.64	<6.30	<13.22	<10.08	<7.77	<12.12	<5.32	<7.55	<48.49	<11.58
Station 10 (Control)	02/28/2007	03/31/2007	<5.53	<13.77	<4.29	<5.69	<15.92	<10.92	<7.85	<14.18	<6.64	<6.54	<27.91	<13.00
Station 8 (Indicator)	03/31/2007	04/30/2007	<6.53	<12.16	<6.11	<6.53	<16.74	<9.30	<9.77	<9.40	<7.50	<7.19	<26.85	<9.91
Station 10 (Control)	03/31/2007	04/30/2007	<5.58	<8.42	<5.60	<5.80	<12.41	<10.37	<7.75	<8.63	<6.93	<7.27	<26.46	<7.39
Station 8 (Indicator)	04/30/2007	05/31/2007	<5.22	<8.95	<5.19	<5.04	<9.89	<6.99	<5.17	<8.39	<4.90	<4.33	<19.45	<5.13
Station 10 (Control)	04/30/2007	05/31/2007	<5.39	<11.26	<5.21	<5.19	<13.43	<11.20	<8.14	<9.64	<5.68	<6.28	<23.63	<8.10
Station 8 (Indicator)	05/31/2007	06/30/2007	<4.24	<12.04	<5.18	<5.09	<11.64	<9.86	<7.15	<12.16	<5.30	<5.31	<25.17	<13.05
Station 10 (Control)	05/31/2007	06/30/2007	<4.97	<11.60	<6.12	<4.83	<13.10	<8.38	<6.02	<12.27	<5.54	<5.60	<32.40	<12.03

Table 3.1
 Sample Type: **Surface Water**
 Analysis: Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Start Date	End Date	Mn-54	Fe-59	Co-58	Co-60	Zn-65	Zr-95	Nb-95	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
		Required LLD →	15	30	15	15	30	30	15	15	15	18	60	15
Station 8 (Indicator)	06/30/2007	07/31/2007	<4.29	<7.98	<4.11	<3.33	<7.61	<6.58	<4.51	<4.64	<3.90	<3.19	<14.39	<5.64
Station 10 (Control)	06/30/2007	07/31/2007	<4.37	<7.86	<4.27	<3.85	<7.47	<7.79	<4.75	<4.62	<4.34	<4.33	<14.57	<2.99
Station 8 (Indicator)	07/31/2007	08/31/2007	<3.25	<7.02	<4.47	<4.40	<6.98	<8.10	<4.86	<9.69	<4.12	<3.51	<27.48	<10.17
Station 10 (Control)	07/31/2007	08/31/2007	<2.90	<8.74	<4.18	<3.72	<7.01	<7.30	<5.22	<10.68	<4.03	<3.57	<25.95	<5.20
Station 8 (Indicator)	08/31/2007	09/30/2007	<5.12	<9.81	<4.55	<5.28	<10.39	<5.00	<4.43	<9.01	<3.73	<3.99	<21.13	<7.78
Station 10 (Control)	08/31/2007	09/30/2007	<5.51	<10.91	<6.02	<5.30	<14.90	<8.68	<5.94	<12.76	<6.32	<6.47	<29.38	<10.26
Station 8 (Indicator)	09/30/2007	10/31/2007	<5.08	<10.73	<4.10	<3.36	<8.81	<8.42	<5.03	<7.52	<5.02	<4.68	<16.83	<9.70
Station 10 (Control)	09/30/2007	10/31/2007	<4.50	<10.75	<5.61	<2.72	<11.05	<8.33	<8.12	<10.13	<4.94	<4.73	<23.91	<8.38
Station 8 (Indicator)	10/31/2007	11/30/2007	<5.18	<11.49	<4.24	<3.99	<11.11	<7.79	<7.48	<9.79	<4.26	<3.83	<22.26	<8.80
Station 10 (Control)	10/31/2007	11/30/2007	<4.65	<10.90	<5.45	<5.12	<11.90	<11.46	<7.18	<11.41	<4.48	<5.72	<34.90	<10.83
Station 8 (Indicator)	11/30/2007	12/31/2007	<2.63	<7.39	<3.50	<3.13	<6.74	<5.92	<3.79	<5.71	<3.37	<3.29	<15.37	<5.26
Station 10 (Control)	11/30/2007	12/31/2007	<4.09	<7.72	<3.57	<4.27	<7.25	<5.84	<4.23	<7.67	<3.54	<3.39	<18.55	<6.35

Table 3.2
 Sample Type: **Surface Water**
 Analysis: Tritium
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Begin Date	End Date	H-3
		<u>Required LLD</u> →	<u>3000</u>
Station 8 (Indicator)	12/31/2006	03/31/2007	973.9
Station 10 (Control)	12/31/2006	03/31/2007	<535.4
Station 8 (Indicator)	03/31/2007	06/30/2007	251.0
Station 10 (Control)	03/31/2007	06/30/2007	<550.2
Station 8 (Indicator)	06/30/2007	09/30/2007	<532.0
Station 10 (Control)	06/30/2007	09/30/2007	<531.1
Station 8 (Indicator)	09/30/2007	12/31/2007	756.0
Station 10 (Control)	09/30/2007	12/31/2007	<570.1

Table 4.1
 Sample Type: **Drinking Water**
 Analysis: Gross Beta, Iodine-131 and Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Collection Date	Gross Beta	I-131	Mn-54	Fe-59	Co-58	Co-60	Zn-65	Zr-95	Nb-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
Required LLD →		4.0	1.0	15	30	15	15	30	30	15	15	18	60	15
Station 14 (Indicator)	03/20/2007	1.28	<0.86	<7.21	<10.85	<6.60	<4.29	<13.03	<11.22	<7.54	<7.12	<6.30	<29.37	<9.91
Station 57 (Control)	03/20/2007	2.26	<0.86	<5.42	<11.16	<5.08	<3.42	<11.47	<11.55	<7.77	<6.33	<5.40	<28.16	<11.42
Station 14 (Indicator)	06/19/2007	<1.20	<0.90	<6.50	<14.82	<7.84	<5.35	<8.06	<12.72	<9.97	<6.20	<5.73	<32.31	<9.94
Station 57 (Control)	06/19/2007	0.83	<0.71	<7.31	<15.82	<5.33	<5.57	<12.43	<9.12	<8.63	<7.55	<6.08	<29.49	<11.89
Station 14 (Indicator)	09/18/2007	0.99	<0.82	<5.72	<14.30	<6.34	<5.64	<14.36	<7.43	<8.14	<5.73	<7.00	<24.81	<8.66
Station 57 (Control)	09/18/2007	1.93	<0.90	<7.62	<11.84	<6.73	<4.80	<9.21	<12.13	<7.14	<6.54	<7.10	<27.37	<10.27
Station 14 (Indicator)	12/19/2007	<1.31	<0.89	<3.03	<10.05	<3.40	<29.85	<8.79	<6.67	<4.02	<3.94	<3.49	<25.40	<7.57
Station 57 (Control)	12/20/2007	2.09	<0.90	<2.98	<7.24	<2.75	<3.24	<6.13	<6.36	<4.18	<3.33	<3.21	<23.47	<6.89

Table 4.2
 Sample Type: **Drinking Water**
 Analysis: Tritium
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Collection Date	H-3
	<u>Required LLD</u> →	<u>2000</u>
Station 14 (Indicator)	03/20/2007	<574
Station 57 (Control)	03/20/2007	<572
Station 14 (Indicator)	06/19/2007	<522
Station 57 (Control)	06/19/2007	<526
Station 14 (Indicator)	09/18/2007	<526
Station 57 (Control)	09/18/2007	<528
Station 14 (Indicator)	12/19/2007	<577
Station 57 (Control)	12/20/2007	<591

Table 5.1
 Sample Type: **Sediment**
 Analysis: Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/kg

Location	Collection Date	Cs-134	Cs-137
	<u>Required LLD</u> →	<u>150</u>	<u>180</u>
Station 8 (Indicator)	10/09/2007	<131.21	67.41
Station 16 (Control)	10/09/2007	<138.36	<112.29

Table 6.1
 Sample Type: **Fish**
 Analysis: Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/kg

Location	Collection Date	Mn-54	Fe-59	Co-58	Co-60	Zn-65	Cs-134	Cs-137
Required LLD →		<u>130</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>150</u>
Station 8 (Indicator)	12/04/2007	<21.83	<72.51	<21.57	<20.41	<34.23	<16.58	<16.95
Station 16 (Control)	12/21/2007	<15.24	<45.48	<17.26	<18.96	<39.24	<14.20	<10.71

Table 7.1
 Sample Type: **Food Products**
 Analysis: Iodine-131 and Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/kg

Location	Collection Date	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137
Required LLD →		<u>60</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>80</u>
Station 13 (Indicator)	06/19/2007	<59.91	<39.53	<36.66
Station 55 (Control)	06/19/2007	<57.36	<45.00	<28.49
Station 13 (Indicator)	07/24/2007	<56.07	<42.96	<31.63
Station 55 (Control)	07/24/2007	<57.17	<48.46	<36.63
Station 13 (Indicator)	08/21/2007	<59.88	<34.09	<39.63
Station 55 (Control)	08/21/2007	<59.28	<34.23	<25.75

ATTACHMENT 2

Interlaboratory Comparison Program

Table 8.1
 Sample Type: **Interlaboratory Comparison**
 Analysis: Gross Beta, Iodine-131 and Gamma Isotopic

Sample Type (units)	Study	Date	Analysis	Known Value ^a	RBS Value	RBS N-Dev ^b	RBS N-Range ^c
Charcoal Cartridge (pCi/cartridge)	E5390-125	6/14/2007	I-131	7.91E+01	7.97E+01	0.13	0.01
Water (pCi/liter)	E5389-125	6/14/2007	BETA	1.99E+02	2.25E+02	1.49	0.06
	E5388-125	6/14/2007	Cr-51	4.11E+02	4.20E+02	0.75	0.20
			Mn-54	1.33E+02	1.48E+02	3.89^(d)	0.04
			Co-58	1.59E+02	1.64E+02	1.01	0.06
			Fe-59	1.34E+02	1.45E+02	2.83	0.16
			Co-60	1.91E+02	1.95E+02	0.75	0.09
			Zn-65	2.68E+02	2.82E+02	0.87	0.13
			I-131	1.02E+02	1.02E+02	-0.02	0.16
			Cs-134	1.94E+02	1.93E+02	-0.11	0.24
			Cs-137	1.35E+02	1.40E+02	1.21	0.32
Ce-141	1.60E+02	1.63E+02	0.57	0.38			
	E5467-125	9/13/2007	H-3	1.20E+04	1.11E+04	-1.26	0.06
Milk (pCi/liter)	E5391-125	6/12/2007	Cr-51	5.12E+02	5.17E+02	0.12	0.06
			Mn-54	1.66E+02	1.82E+02	1.14	0.11
			Co-58	1.98E+02	2.02E+02	0.24	0.05
			Fe-59	1.67E+02	1.85E+02	1.23	0.09
			Co-60	2.38E+02	2.37E+02	-0.03	0.02
			Zn-65	3.34E+02	3.72E+02	1.98	0.07
			I-131	7.01E+01	7.03E+01	0.05	0.20
			Cs-134	2.42E+02	2.42E+02	0.02	0.07
			Cs-137	1.69E+02	1.70E+02	0.06	0.03
			Ce-141	2.00E+02	2.03E+02	0.16	0.05

Table 8.1
 Sample Type: **Interlaboratory Comparison**
 Analysis: Tritium and Gamma Isotopic

Sample Type (units)	Study	Date	Analysis	Known Value ^a	RBS Value	RBS N-Dev ^b	RBS N-Range ^c
Air Filter (pCi/Filter)	E5468-125	9/13/2007	BETA	3.23E+01	2.81E+01	-1.46	0.03
	E5469-125	9/13/2007	Cr-51	1.25E+02	1.22E+02	-0.09	0.03
			Mn-54	7.26E+01	7.82E+01	1.93	0.14
			Co-58	4.94E+01	4.87E+01	-0.23	0.19
			Fe-59	4.79E+01	5.17E+01	1.33	0.04
			Co-60	6.41E+01	6.48E+01	0.26	0.03
			Zn-65	8.76E+01	9.96E+01	2.38	0.09
			Cs-134	6.38E+01	6.12E+01	-0.89	0.08
			Cs-137	5.65E+01	5.85E+01	0.69	0.11
Ce-141	9.14E+01	9.12E+01	-0.06	0.12			
Sediment (pCi/gram)	E5470-125	9/13/2007	Cr-51	3.91E-01	3.83E-01	-0.07	0.03
			Mn-54	2.27E-01	2.43E-01	0.24	0.01
			Co-58	1.54E-01	1.38E-01	-0.36	0.04
			Fe-59	1.49E-01	1.48E-01	-0.03	0.02
			Co-60	2.00E-01	1.94E-01	-0.11	0.01
			Zn-65	2.73E-01	3.00E-01	1.74	0.09
			Cs-134	1.99E-01	2.03E-01	0.07	0.02
			Cs-137	2.73E-01	2.99E-01	0.33	0.02
Ce-141	2.85E-01	2.81E-01	-0.05	0.03			

NOTES:

- (a) The known value as determined by Analytics.
- (b) The normalized deviation from the "known" value is computed from the deviation and the standard error of the mean; ± 2.00 is the warning limit and ± 3.00 is the control limit. This is a measure of accuracy of the analytical methods.
- (c) The normalized range is computed from the mean range, the control limit, and the standard error of the range; $+2.000$ is the warning limit and $+3.000$ is the control limit. This is a measure of precision of the analytical methods.
- (d) Results reported were outside Control Limits.

Interlaboratory Comparison Program Exceptions

There was one result outside the control limits for accuracy in the 2007 Interlaboratory Comparison program participation studies. The RBS normalized-deviation for nuclide Mn-54 in a gamma isotopic water analysis, Analytics sample number E5388-125 of 6/14/2007, was +3.89, which is outside the control limit of ± 3.00 for accuracy. This high bias result is considered conservative and is considered as having no impact on past results of the program. The results for Mn-54 in all other program samples were within control limits for the year 2007; with normalized-deviations of 0.24 in sediment sample analysis; 1.93 in an air filter sample analysis; and 1.14 in a milk sample analysis. Reanalysis of the 2007 water sample produced results very similar to the original averaged result.

A review concerning the high bias was performed with no obvious issues associated with the counting of the water samples. Analytics was contacted concerning this result. A possible explanation offered from Analytics was that the effect of coincidence summing in calibration sources containing Y-88 and Co-60 may cause a lower efficiency for energies associated with Mn-54. The lower efficiency will result in a higher concentration for Mn-54. This phenomenon can sometimes also be seen with energies associated with Fe-59 and Zn-65.

ATTACHMENT 3

Sediment Dose Calculations

Sediment Dose Calculations

Dose calculation for the discharge sediment was performed using generalized equation found in Regulatory Guide 1.109, Appendix A as follows:

$$R = (40) \times (C) \times (U) \times (D) \times (W)$$

- R** = Annual dose to skin or total body in mrem/year;
- 40** = Area-mass conversion factor given in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.109 in Kg/m²;
- C** = 2007 maximum radionuclide concentration in pCi/kg;
- U** = Maximum exposure time given in Table E-5 of Regulatory Guide 1.109 (67 hours for teenager);
- D** = External dose conversion factor for standing on contaminated ground given in Table E-6 of Regulatory Guide 1.109 in mrem/hr per pCi/m², and
- W** = Shore-width factor (0.1) given in Table A-2 of Regulatory Guide 1.109.

(Dose from Sediment in Millirem/Year)

Radionuclide	2007 Maximum Concentration	Conversion Factor For Skin	Total Skin Dose	Conversion Factor For Total Body	Total Body Dose
Cs-137	67.41	4.90 E-09	8.85 E-05	4.20 E-09	7.59 E-05
TOTAL			8.85 E-05		7.59 E-05