

Primary Water Stress Corrosion Crack Growth Analysis ID flaw;
Developed by Central Engineering Programs, Entergy Operations Inc.

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam

Verified by: B. C. Gray

References :

- 1) "Stress Intensity factors for Part-through Surface Cracks"; NASA TM-11707; July 1992.
- 2) Crack Growth of Alloy 600 Base Metal in PWR Environments; EPRI MRP Report MRP 55 Rev. 1, 2002

Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3

Component : Reactor Vessel CEDM -"49.7" Degree Nozzle, "90" Degree Azimuth,
1.544" above Nozzle Bottom

Calculation Basis: MRP 75 th Percentile and Flaw Face Pressurized

Mean Radius -to- Thickness Ratio:- " R_m/t " – between 1.0 and 300.0

Note : Used the Metric form of the equation from EPRI MRP 55-Rev. 1.
The correction is applied in the determination of the crack extension to
obtain the value in inch/hr .

ID Surface Flaw

The first Required input is a location for a point on the tube elevation to define the point of interest (e.g. The top of the Blind Zone, or bottom of fillet weld etc.). This reference point is necessary to evaluate the stress distribution on the flaw both for the initial flaw and for a growing flaw. This is defined as the reference point. Enter a number (inch) that represents the reference point elevation measured upward from the nozzle end.

Ref Point = 75

To place the flaw with respect to the reference point, the flaw tips and center can be located as follows:

- 1) The Upper "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 1)
- 2) The Center of the flaw at the reference point (Enter 2)
- 3) The lower "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 3).

Val = 2

The Input Below is the Upper Limit for the evaluation, which is the bottom of the fillet weld/leg. This is shown on the Excel spread sheet as weld bottom. Enter this dimension (measured from nozzle bottom) below.

UL Strs Dist = 4985

Upper axial Extent for Stress Distribution to be used in the Analysis: (Axial distance above nozzle bottom).

The highlighted region below remains constant for WSES-3 and should not be changed

Input Data :-

$$L := 0.75$$

Initial Flaw Length

$$a_0 := 0.93$$

Initial Flaw Depth

$$od := 4.05$$

Tube OD

$$id := 2.728$$

Tube ID

$$P_{int} := 2235$$

Design Operating Pressure (Internal)

$$Years := 2$$

Number of Operating Years

$$I_{lim} := 1500$$

Iteration Limit for Crack Growth Loop

$$T := 604$$

Estimate of Operating Temperature

$$\alpha_{0c} := 2.67 \cdot 10^{-12}$$

Constant in MRP-PWSCC Model for A500 Wrought @ 617 deg F

$$Q_g := 310$$

Thermal activation Energy for Crack Growth (MRP)

$$T_{ref} := 617$$

Reference Temperature for normalizing Data deg F

$$R_o := \frac{od}{2}$$

$$R_{id} := \frac{id}{2}$$

$$t := R_o - R_{id}$$

$$R_m := R_{id} + \frac{t}{2}$$

$$Tim_{opr} := Years \cdot 365 \cdot 24$$

$$CF_{inhr} := 1.417 \cdot 10^5$$

$$C_{blk} := \frac{Tim_{opr}}{I_{lim}}$$

$$Prnt_{blk} := \left| \frac{I_{lim}}{50} \right|$$

$$c_0 := \frac{L}{2}$$

$$R_t := \frac{R_m}{t}$$

$$C_{01} := e^{\left[\frac{-Q_g}{1.103 \cdot 10^{-3}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T+459.67} - \frac{1}{T_{ref}+459.67} \right) \right]} \cdot \alpha_{0c}$$

Temperature Correction for Coefficient Alpha

$$C_0 := C_{01}$$

75th percentile MRP-55 Revision 1

Stress Input Data

Input all available Nodal stress data in the table below. The column designations are as follows:
 Column "0" = Axial distance from minimum to maximum recorded on data sheet (inches)
 Column "1" = ID Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)
 Column "2" = Quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)
 Column "3" = Mid Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)
 Column "4" = Three quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)
 Column "5" = OD Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)


AllData :=

	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	19.02	9.58	3.37	-2.08	-7.96
1	1.35	4.88	-0.01	-3.32	-6.54	-9.39
2	2.43	4.12	-0.78	-2.08	-2.21	-2.99
3	3.29	11.59	9.74	9.09	5.5	1.99
4	3.99	15.7	11.01	11.9	12.48	10.55
5	4.54	1	3.69	8.87	18.84	26.6
6	4.99	-19.25	-7.47	4.61	28	35.85
7	5.16	-28.8	-16.47	1.4	28.03	40.15
8	5.33	-31.34	-20.97	-0.5	28.53	38.49
9	5.5	-32.98	-22.94	-2.56	28.32	38
10	5.68	-34.3	-23.31	-2.31	25.93	41.38

AXLen := AllData⁽⁰⁾

ID_{All} := AllData⁽¹⁾

OD_{All} := AllData⁽⁵⁾



Observing the stress distribution select the region in the table above labeled Data_{ALL} that represents the region of interest. This needs to be done especially for distributions that have a large compressive stress at the nozzle bottom and high tensile stresses at the J-weld location. Highlight the region in the above table representing the region to be selected (click on the first cell for selection and drag the mouse whilst holding the left mouse button down. Once this is done click the right mouse button and select "Copy Selection"; this will copy the selected area on to the clipboard. Then click on the "Matrix" below (to the right of the data statement) to highlight the entire matrix and delete it from the edit menu. When the Mathcad input symbol appears, use the paste function in the tool bar to paste the selection.

$$\text{Data} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 19.022 & 9.579 & 3.372 & -2.08 & -7.96 \\ 1.348 & 4.884 & -0.011 & -3.322 & -6.536 & -9.387 \\ 2.427 & 4.116 & -0.784 & -2.075 & -2.213 & -2.987 \\ 3.292 & 11.593 & 9.74 & 9.093 & 5.504 & 1.989 \\ 3.985 & 15.695 & 11.005 & 11.902 & 12.478 & 10.549 \\ 4.54 & 0.999 & 3.689 & 8.873 & 18.835 & 26.599 \\ 4.985 & -19.249 & -7.467 & 4.613 & 28.003 & 35.847 \\ 5.158 & -28.802 & -16.466 & 1.395 & 28.031 & 40.149 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Axl} := \text{Data}^{(0)} \quad \text{MD} := \text{Data}^{(3)} \quad \text{ID} := \text{Data}^{(1)} \quad \text{TQ} := \text{Data}^{(4)} \quad \text{QT} := \text{Data}^{(2)} \quad \text{OD} := \text{Data}^{(5)}$$

$$R_{ID} := \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{ID}, 3)$$

$$R_{QT} := \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{QT}, 3)$$

$$R_{OD} := \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{OD}, 3)$$

$$R_{MD} := \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{MD}, 3)$$

$$R_{TQ} := \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{TQ}, 3)$$

$$FL_{Cntr} := \begin{cases} Ref_{Point} - c_0 & \text{if } Val = 1 \\ Ref_{Point} & \text{if } Val = 2 \\ Ref_{Point} + c_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Flaw center Location above Nozzle Bottom



$$U_{Tip} := FL_{Cntr} + c_0$$


$$IncStrs.avg := \frac{UL_{Strs.Dist} - U_{Tip}}{20}$$


No User Input is required beyond this Point

Sat Aug 09 10:59:39 AM 2003

$\text{PropLength} = 3.86$







$CGR_{sambi(k,8)} =$



0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403
0.403



$CGR_{sambi(k,6)} =$

2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554
2.554

$CGR_{sambi(k,5)} =$

-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232
-0.232





Comparison for Through-wall Cracks

Developed by Central Engineering Programs, Entergy Operations Inc

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadessam

Verified by: B. C. Gray

References :

- 1) "Stress Intensity Factors Handbook - Volume 1"; Y Murakami, Editor-in-Chief; Pergamon Press; Section 1.3;
- 2) Crack Growth of Alloy 600 Base Metal in PWR Environments; EPRI MRP Report MRP 55 Rev. 1, 2002

Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3

Component : Reactor Vessel CEDM -"49.7"degree Nozzle, "90" Degree Azimuth 1.0 inch above Nozzle Bottom

Calculation Reference: MRP 75 th Percentile and Flaw Pressurized

Note : Used the Metric form of the equation from EPRI MRP 55-Rev. 1 .
The correction is applied in the determination of the crack extension to
obtain the value in inch/hr .

**Through Wall
Axial Edge Crack**

The first Input is to locate the Reference Line (eg. top of the Blind Zone). The through-wall flaw "Upper Tip" is located at the Reference Line.
Enter the elevation of the Reference Line (eg. Blind Zone) above the nozzle bottom in inches.

BZ := .75

Location of Blind Zone above nozzle bottom (inch)

The Second Input is the Upper Limit for the evaluation, which is the bottom of the fillet weld leg. This is shown on the Excel spread sheet as weld bottom. Enter this dimension (measured from nozzle bottom) below.

UL_{Strs.Dist} := 4.985

Upper axial Extent for Stress Distribution to be used in the analysis (Axial distance above nozzle bottom)

Input Data :-

$L := 0.75$	Initial Flaw Length TW axial
$OD := 4.05$	Tube OD
$ID := 2.728$	Tube ID
$P_{Int} := 2.235$	Design Operating Pressure (internal)
$Years := 40$	Number of Operating Years
$I_{lim} := 16000$	Iteration limit for Crack Growth loop
$T := 604$	Estimate of Operating Temperature
$\nu := 0.307$	Poissons ratio @ 600 F
$\alpha_{0c} := 2.67 \cdot 10^{-12}$	Constant in MRP PWSCC Model for I-600 Wrought @ 617 deg. F
$Q_g := 31.0$	Thermal activation Energy for Crack Growth {MRP}
$T_{ref} := 617$	Reference Temperature for normalizing Data deg. F

$$C_0 := e^{\left[\frac{-Q_g}{1.103 \cdot 10^{-3}} \left(\frac{1}{T+459.67} - \frac{1}{T_{ref}+459.67} \right) \right]} \cdot \alpha_{0c}$$

$$Tim_{opr} := Years \cdot 365 \cdot 24$$

$$R_o := \frac{OD}{2}$$

$$R_i := \frac{ID}{2}$$

$$t := R_o - R_i$$

$$R_m := R_i + \frac{t}{2}$$

$$CF_{inhr} := 1.417 \cdot 10^5$$

$$C_{blk} := \frac{Tim_{opr}}{I_{lim}}$$

$$Prnt_{blk} := \left\lfloor \frac{I_{lim}}{50} \right\rfloor$$

$$l := \frac{L}{2}$$

$$L_1 := BZ$$

Stress Distribution in the tube. The outside surface is the reference surface for all analysis in accordance with the reference.

Stress Input Data

Import the Required data from applicable Excel spread Sheet. The column designations are as follows:

Column "0" = Axial distance from Minimum to Maximum recorded on the data sheet (inches)

Column "1" = ID Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

Column "5" = OD Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)


Data_{All} :=

	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	19.02	9.58	3.37	-2.08	-7.96
1	1.35	4.88	-0.01	-3.32	-6.54	-9.39
2	2.43	4.12	-0.78	-2.08	-2.21	-2.99
3	3.29	11.59	9.74	9.09	5.5	1.99
4	3.99	15.7	11.01	11.9	12.48	10.55
5	4.54	1	3.69	8.87	18.84	26.6
6	4.99	-19.25	-7.47	4.61	28	35.85
7	5.16	-28.8	-16.47	1.4	28.03	40.15
8	5.33	-31.34	-20.97	-0.5	28.53	38.49
9	5.5	-32.98	-22.94	-2.56	28.32	38

AllAxl := Data_{All}⁽⁰⁾

AllID := Data_{All}⁽¹⁾

AllOD := Data_{All}⁽⁵⁾



Observing the stress distribution select the region in the table above labeled $Data_{All}$ that represents the region of interest. This needs to be done especially for distributions that have a large compressive stress at the nozzle bottom and high tensile stresses at the J-weld location. Copy the selection in the above table, click on the "Data" statement below and delete it from the edit menu. Type "Data and the Mathcad "equal" sign (Shift-Colon) then insert the same to the right of the Mathcad Equals sign below (paste symbol).

$$Data := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 19.022 & 9.579 & 3.372 & -2.08 & -7.96 \\ 1.348 & 4.884 & -0.011 & -3.322 & -6.536 & -9.387 \\ 2.427 & 4.116 & -0.784 & -2.075 & -2.213 & -2.987 \\ 3.292 & 11.593 & 9.74 & 9.093 & 5.504 & 1.989 \\ 3.985 & 15.695 & 11.005 & 11.902 & 12.478 & 10.549 \\ 4.54 & 0.999 & 3.689 & 8.873 & 18.835 & 26.599 \\ 4.985 & -19.249 & -7.467 & 4.613 & 28.003 & 35.847 \\ 5.158 & -28.802 & -16.466 & 1.395 & 28.031 & 40.149 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Axl := Data^{(0)}$$

$$ID := Data^{(1)}$$

$$OD := Data^{(5)}$$

$$R_{ID} := \text{regress}(Axl, ID, 3)$$

$$R_{OD} := \text{regress}(Axl, OD, 3)$$

$$FL_{Cntr} := BZ - 1$$

Flaw Center above Nozzle Bottom

$$IncStrs.avg := \frac{ULStrs.Dist - BZ}{20}$$

$$IncEdg := \frac{ULStrs.Dist - BZ}{20}$$

$$R_{ID_{All}} := \text{regress}(AllAxl, AllID, 3)$$

$$R_{OD_{All}} := \text{regress}(AllAxl, AllOD, 3)$$

No User Input required beyond this Point

Calculation to develop Stress Profiles for Analysis

Hoop Stress Profile in the axial direction of the tube for ID and OD locations

$N := 20$ Number of locations for stress profiles

$$Loc_0 := FL_{Cntr} - L$$

$$i := 1..N + 3$$

$$Incr_i := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i < 4 \\ Inc_{Strs.avg} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Incr_{edg_i} := \begin{cases} \frac{L_1}{2} & \text{if } i < 4 \\ Inc_{Edg} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Loc_i := Loc_{i-1} + Incr_i$$

$$Loc1_i := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ Loc1_{i-1} + Incr_{edg_i} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$SID_i := RID_3 + RID_4 \cdot Loc_i + RID_5 \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + RID_6 \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

$$SOD_i := ROD_3 + ROD_4 \cdot Loc_i + ROD_5 \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + ROD_6 \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

$$SID_{All_i} := RID_{All_3} + RID_{All_4} \cdot Loc1_i + RID_{All_5} \cdot (Loc1_i)^2 + RID_{All_6} \cdot (Loc1_i)^3$$

$$SOD_{All_i} := ROD_{All_3} + ROD_{All_4} \cdot Loc1_i + ROD_{All_5} \cdot (Loc1_i)^2 + ROD_{All_6} \cdot (Loc1_i)^3$$

Development of Elevation-Averaged stresses at 20 elevations along the tube for use in Fracture Mechanics Model

$$j := 1..N$$

$$S_{id,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SID_j + SID_{j+1} + SID_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{id,j-1} \cdot (j + 1) + SID_{j+2}}{j + 2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{od,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SOD_j + SOD_{j+1} + SOD_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{od,j-1} \cdot (j + 1) + SOD_{j+2}}{j + 2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{id.all,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SID_{All,j} + SID_{All,j+1} + SID_{All,j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{id.all,j-1} \cdot (j + 1) + SID_{All,j+2}}{j + 2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{od.all,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SOD_{All,j} + SOD_{All,j+1} + SOD_{All,j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{od.all,j-1} \cdot (j + 1) + SOD_{All,j+2}}{j + 2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_{m,j} := \frac{S_{od,j} + S_{id,j}}{2} + P_{Int}$$

$$\sigma_{b,j} := \frac{S_{od,j} - S_{id,j}}{2}$$

$$\sigma_{m.all,j} := \frac{S_{od.all,j} + S_{id.all,j}}{2} + P_{Int}$$

Stress Distributions for use in Fracture Mechanics Analysis

Membrane Stress	Bending Stress	OD Stress	ID Stress	Membrane stress (Edge Crack)
$\sigma_m =$	$\sigma_b =$	$S_{od} =$	$S_{id} =$	$\sigma_{m.all} =$
0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
1 3.422	1 -9.58	1 -8.393	1 10.767	1 5.335
2 2.196	2 -8.419	2 -8.458	2 8.38	2 5.853
3 1.392	3 -7.638	3 -8.482	3 6.795	3 6.241
4 0.881	4 -7.111	4 -8.465	4 5.757	4 6.543
5 0.599	5 -6.768	5 -8.404	5 5.133	5 6.778
6 0.506	6 -6.566	6 -8.295	6 4.837	6 6.959
7 0.571	7 -6.469	7 -8.133	7 4.804	7 7.095
8 0.768	8 -6.446	8 -7.913	8 4.979	8 7.191
9 1.077	9 -6.47	9 -7.628	9 5.312	9 7.253
10 1.476	10 -6.515	10 -7.274	10 5.756	10 7.286
11 1.947	11 -6.556	11 -6.845	11 6.268	11 7.295
12 2.47	12 -6.569	12 -6.334	12 6.803	12 7.283
13 3.027	13 -6.529	13 -5.738	13 7.321	13 7.255
14 3.6	14 -6.414	14 -5.049	14 7.779	14 7.215
15 4.172	15 -6.198	15 -4.262	15 8.135	15 7.166

$$\text{PropLength} := \text{ULStrs.Dist} - (\text{FLCntr} + 1)$$

$$\text{PropLength} = 4.235$$

Recursive Loop For Edge Crack Model

```

TWCEDGpwscc :=
    i ← 0
    L10 ← |L1|
    NCB0 ← Cblk
    while i ≤ Ilim
        σm.appld ←
            σm.all1 if L1 ≤ L10
            σm.all2 if L10 < L1 ≤ L10 + IncrEdg
            σm.all3 if L10 + IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 2·IncrEdg
            σm.all4 if L10 + 2·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 3·IncrEdg
            σm.all5 if L10 + 3·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 4·IncrEdg
            σm.all6 if L10 + 4·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 5·IncrEdg
            σm.all7 if L10 + 5·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 6·IncrEdg
            σm.all8 if L10 + 6·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 7·IncrEdg
            σm.all9 if L10 + 7·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 8·IncrEdg
            σm.all10 if L10 + 8·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 9·IncrEdg
            σm.all11 if L10 + 9·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 10·IncrEdg
            σm.all12 if L10 + 10·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 11·IncrEdg
            σm.all13 if L10 + 11·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 12·IncrEdg
            σm.all14 if L10 + 12·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 13·IncrEdg
            σm.all15 if L10 + 13·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 14·IncrEdg
            σm.all16 if L10 + 14·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 15·IncrEdg
            σm.all17 if L10 + 15·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 16·IncrEdg
            σm.all18 if L10 + 16·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 17·IncrEdg
            σm.all19 if L10 + 17·IncrEdg < L1 ≤ L10 + 18·IncrEdg
            σm.all20 otherwise
        b ← ULStrs.Dist
    
```

$$Z_i \leftarrow \begin{cases} 0.99 & \text{if } \frac{L_{1_i}}{b} \geq 1.0 \\ \frac{L_{1_i}}{b} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$F_{a,b_i} \leftarrow 1.12 - 0.231 \cdot (Z_i) + 10.55 \cdot (Z_i)^2 - 21.72 \cdot (Z_i)^3 + 30.39 \cdot (Z_i)^4$$

$$K_{\text{edg.Crk}_i} \leftarrow \begin{cases} \sigma_{m.\text{apld}} \cdot \sqrt{\pi \cdot L_{1_i}} & \text{if } (\sigma_{m.\text{apld}} \cdot \sqrt{\pi \cdot L_{1_i}}) \leq 0 \\ \sigma_{m.\text{apld}} \cdot (\pi \cdot L_{1_i})^{0.5} \cdot F_{a,b_i} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$K_{A_i} \leftarrow K_{\text{edg.Crk}_i} \cdot 1.099$$

$$K_{\alpha_i} \leftarrow \begin{cases} 9.0 & \text{if } K_{A_i} \leq 9.0 \\ K_{A_i} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$D_{\text{len}_i} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot (K_{\alpha_i} - 9.0)^{1.16}$$

$$D_{\text{length}_i} \leftarrow \begin{cases} D_{\text{len}_i} \cdot CF_{\text{inhr}} \cdot C_{\text{blk}} & \text{if } K_{\alpha_i} \leq 80.0 \\ 4 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot CF_{\text{inhr}} \cdot C_{\text{blk}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{output}_{(i,0)} \leftarrow i$$

$$\text{output}_{(i,1)} \leftarrow \frac{NCB_i}{365 \cdot 24}$$

$$\text{output}_{(i,2)} \leftarrow L_{1_i} - L_{1_0}$$

$$\text{output}_{(i,3)} \leftarrow D_{\text{length}_i}$$

$$\text{output}_{(i,4)} \leftarrow K_{\text{edg.Crk}_i}$$

$$\text{output}_{(i,5)} \leftarrow F_{a,b_i}$$

$$i \leftarrow i + 1$$


$$L_{1_i} \leftarrow L_{1_{i-1}} + D_{\text{length}_{i-1}}$$


$$NCB_i \leftarrow NCB_{i-1} + C_{\text{blk}}$$

output



j := 1..Ij_{im}


PropLength = 4.235





Axum Plot for the ID and OD Stress distribution along nozzle length used in the comparison





Appendix D

Contains Mathcad worksheets for;

- 1) Evaluations of Curve Fitting method.**
- 2) Demonstration of the validity of the Moving Average method.**
- 3) Comparison of SICF for the Edge Crack Formulation and Current model.**
- 4) Comparison of Conventional and Current model for OD Surface Crack.**
- 5) Comparison of Current model with Conventional model and Edge Crack model for Through-wall Crack.**
- 6) Curve Fitting for 49.7° nozzle at the mid-plane location at nozzle bottom.**

This Appendix has six (6) Attachments.

Evaluation of Curve fit for Stress Profile Generation along the Tube Axis

In this worksheet the effect of data set selection for curve fitting, using a third order polynomial is evaluated. The data table below is from a data set used in the CEDM analyses. This data set is imported directly from the Excel spreadsheet provided by Dominion Engineering for the CEDM. The evaluation considers the full data set and a limited data set spanning the region of interest.

The purpose of this evaluation is to demonstrate the need for the proper selection of a subset of nodal stress data (in the region of interest) to ensure the accuracy of the analysis.

Data set imported from Excel spreadsheet.

AllData :=

	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	-9.81	-9.21	-9.15	-9.11	-9.01
1	0.69	-5.96	-6.67	-7.6	-8.5	-9.17
2	1.24	5.97	1.89	-1.41	-3.64	-4.89
3	1.68	27.3	20.8	14.76	9.07	2.76
4	2.04	38.32	34.26	28.39	21.56	14.2
5	2.32	46.03	38.24	33.08	32.77	40.16
6	2.55	44.34	40.22	38.94	48.67	60.18
7	2.73	35.38	36.51	40.84	54.4	68.18
8	2.92	26.51	32.53	41.33	56.35	69.72
9	3.1	21.36	29.6	40.6	53.91	66.27
10	3.29	22.66	28.09	39.31	52.05	65.07
11	3.47	29.36	30.5	38.36	47.56	57.08
12	3.65	37.59	36.02	38.91	45.89	49.47
13	3.84	43.93	40.89	43.16	46.29	45.27
14	4.02	48.9	44.81	47.03	52.1	45.31
15						
16						
17						
18						

AxILen := AllData⁽⁰⁾

IDAll := AllData⁽¹⁾

MidWall := AllData⁽³⁾

ODAll := AllData⁽⁵⁾

$$\text{Data} := \begin{pmatrix} 1.24 & 5.968 & 1.891 & -1.405 & -3.639 & -4.887 \\ 1.681 & 27.297 & 20.8 & 14.757 & 9.074 & 2.762 \\ 2.035 & 38.318 & 34.255 & 28.387 & 21.562 & 14.198 \\ 2.319 & 46.033 & 38.236 & 33.079 & 32.77 & 40.164 \\ 2.546 & 44.342 & 40.223 & 38.935 & 48.672 & 60.179 \\ 2.731 & 35.382 & 36.514 & 40.837 & 54.397 & 68.177 \\ 2.916 & 26.506 & 32.532 & 41.33 & 56.353 & 69.718 \end{pmatrix}$$

Selected subset from the data table above

$$\text{ALen} := \text{Data}^{\langle 0 \rangle} \quad \text{ID}_{\text{lim}} := \text{Data}^{\langle 1 \rangle} \quad \text{MW}_{\text{lim}} := \text{Data}^{\langle 3 \rangle} \quad \text{OD}_{\text{lim}} := \text{Data}^{\langle 5 \rangle}$$

Regression for the full data set

Regression for selected data set

$$\text{RID}_{\text{All}} := \text{regress}(\text{AxLen}, \text{ID}_{\text{All}}, 3)$$

$$\text{RID}_{\text{data}} := \text{regress}(\text{ALen}, \text{ID}_{\text{lim}}, 3)$$

$$\text{RMW}_{\text{All}} := \text{regress}(\text{AxLen}, \text{MidWall}, 3)$$

$$\text{RMW}_{\text{data}} := \text{regress}(\text{ALen}, \text{MW}_{\text{lim}}, 3)$$

$$\text{ROD}_{\text{All}} := \text{regress}(\text{AxLen}, \text{OD}_{\text{All}}, 3)$$

$$\text{ROD}_{\text{data}} := \text{regress}(\text{ALen}, \text{OD}_{\text{lim}}, 3)$$

$$\text{Bottom} := 0 \quad \text{Top} := 4.02$$

$$\text{WB} := 2.91$$

$$\text{Dist} := \text{Top} - \text{Bottom} \quad \text{Incr} := \frac{\text{Dist}}{20}$$

$$\text{D} := \text{WB} - \text{Bottom} \quad \text{Incr1} := \frac{\text{D}}{20}$$

$$L_0 := 0 - \text{Incr}$$

$$\text{Len}_0 := 0 - \text{Incr1}$$

$$i := 1..20$$

$$L_i := L_{i-1} + \text{Incr}$$

$$\text{Len}_i := \text{Len}_{i-1} + \text{Incr1}$$

Determination of Stresses at three locations across wall thickness, using the full data set

$$\text{ID}_{\text{all}_i} := \text{RID}_{\text{All}_3} + \text{RID}_{\text{All}_4} \cdot L_i + \text{RID}_{\text{All}_5} \cdot (L_i)^2 + \text{RID}_{\text{All}_6} \cdot (L_i)^3$$

$$\text{MW}_{\text{all}_i} := \text{RMW}_{\text{All}_3} + \text{RMW}_{\text{All}_4} \cdot L_i + \text{RMW}_{\text{All}_5} \cdot (L_i)^2 + \text{RMW}_{\text{All}_6} \cdot (L_i)^3$$

$$\text{OD}_{\text{all}_i} := \text{ROD}_{\text{All}_3} + \text{ROD}_{\text{All}_4} \cdot L_i + \text{ROD}_{\text{All}_5} \cdot (L_i)^2 + \text{ROD}_{\text{All}_6} \cdot (L_i)^3$$


Determination of Stresses at three locations across wall thickness, using the selected data set

$$\text{ID}_{\text{data}_i} := \text{RID}_{\text{data}_3} + \text{RID}_{\text{data}_4} \cdot \text{Len}_i + \text{RID}_{\text{data}_5} \cdot (\text{Len}_i)^2 + \text{RID}_{\text{data}_6} \cdot (\text{Len}_i)^3$$


$$\text{MW}_{\text{data}_i} := \text{RMW}_{\text{data}_3} + \text{RMW}_{\text{data}_4} \cdot \text{Len}_i + \text{RMW}_{\text{data}_5} \cdot (\text{Len}_i)^2 + (\text{RMW}_{\text{data}_6}) \cdot (\text{Len}_i)^3$$

$$\text{OD}_{\text{data}_i} := \text{ROD}_{\text{data}_3} + \text{ROD}_{\text{data}_4} \cdot \text{Len}_i + \text{ROD}_{\text{data}_5} \cdot (\text{Len}_i)^2 + \text{ROD}_{\text{data}_6} \cdot (\text{Len}_i)^3$$


Graphical Display of Results




Nodal stress data plotted for the ID and the OD distribution. This plot is based on the full data set.




ID Stress Distribution:-
Comparison of regression fit versus the full data set. The third-order polynomial does not provide an accurate fit. The trend in the data is captured.




OD Stress Distribution:-
Comparison of regression fit versus the full data set. The third-order polynomial does not provide an accurate fit. The trend in the data is captured.




Mid-Wall Stress Distribution:-
Comparison of regression fit versus the full data set. The third-order polynomial does not provide an accurate fit. The trend in the data is captured.



ID Stress Distribution (Selected Data Set):-
Comparison of regression fit versus the selected data set. The third-order polynomial provides an accurate fit.



Mid-Wall Stress Distribution (Selected Data Set):-
Comparison of regression fit versus the selected data set. The third-order polynomial provides an accurate fit.



OD Stress Distribution (Selected Data Set):-
Comparison of regression fit versus the selected
data set. The third-order polynomial provides an
accurate fit.

Conclusion :- By selecting the data judiciously, in the region of interest, facilitates an accurate regression fit of the data.

Example Worksheet
Developed by Central Engineering Programs, Entergy Operations Inc.

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam

Verified by: B. C. Gray

Example to Evaluate Moving Stress Averaging Technique

Basis :- In this worksheet the moving average method is exercised to demonstrate that no numerical errors exist. In this example a linear through-wall stress distribution that remains constant over the length of the nozzle is used. Thus the moving average method, if working properly should provide the same linear through-wall distribution at all segments considered.

This worksheet is developed using the stress distribution analysis portion from the working worksheets used in the analyses. The data table in the worksheet was modified with the entry of a linear throughwall stress distribution at all axial height locations. The result of the moving average technique was output as a table.

The first Required input is a location for a point on the tube elevation to define the point of interest (e.g. The top of the Blind Zone, or bottom of fillet weld etc.). This reference point is necessary to evaluate the stress distribution on the flaw both for the initial flaw and for a growing flaw. This is defined as the reference point. Enter a number (inch) that represents the reference point elevation measured upward from the nozzle end.

Ref_{Point} := 1.544

To place the flaw with respect to the reference point, the flaw tips and center can be located as follows:

- 1) The Upper "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 1)*
- 2) The Center of the flaw at the reference point (Enter 2)*
- 3) The lower "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 3).*

Val := 1

The Input Below is the Upper Limit for the evaluation, which is the bottom of the fillet weld leg. This is shown on the Excel spread sheet as weld bottom. Enter this dimension (measured from nozzle bottom) below.

UL_{Strs.Dist} := 2.75

Upper axial Extent for Stress Distribution to be used in the Analysis (Axial distance above nozzle bottom).

Only input data pertinent to this worksheet are provided. The internal pressure and the information for the PWSCC crack growth, which are not essential to the example problem, have been removed.

Input Data :-

$L := .35$ Initial Flaw Length

$a_0 := 0.035$ Initial Flaw Depth

$od := 4.05$ Tube OD

$id := 2.728$ Tube ID

$$R_o := \frac{od}{2} \quad R_{id} := \frac{id}{2} \quad t := R_o - R_{id} \quad R_m := R_{id} + \frac{t}{2} \quad Tim_{opr} := \text{Years} \cdot 365 \cdot 24$$

$$c_0 := \frac{L}{2} \quad R_t := \frac{R_m}{t}$$

The stress input table that is used to import the nodal stress data was modified. The stress input was manually entered as a linear through-wall distribution at all axial height locations. The table entries below shows the entries used.

Stress Input Data

Input all available Nodal stress data in the table below. The column designations are as follows:

Column "0" = Axial distance from minimum to maximum recorded on data sheet (inches)

Column "1" = ID Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

Column "2" = Quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

Column "3" = Mid Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

Column "4" = Three quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

Column "5" = OD Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

AllData :=


	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	8	10	12	14	16
1	0.35	8	10	12	14	16
2	0.63	8	10	12	14	16
3	0.85	8	10	12	14	16
4	1.03	8	10	12	14	16
5	1.18	8	10	12	14	16
6	1.29	8	10	12	14	16
7	1.44	8	10	12	14	16
8	1.59	8	10	12	14	16
9	1.74	8	10	12	14	16
10	1.89	8	10	12	14	16
11	2.04	8	10	12	14	16

AXLen := AllData⁽⁰⁾

ID_{All} := AllData⁽¹⁾

OD_{All} := AllData⁽⁵⁾

The graph below is a plot of the table data in the previous page. Note the horizontal lines for the ID and OD stress distribution along the nozzle length. Therefore, the input data shows that there is a constant distribution along the nozzle length



Data :=

0	8	10	12	14	16
0.35	8	10	12	14	16
0.63	8	10	12	14	16
0.854	8	10	12	14	16
1.034	8	10	12	14	16
1.178	8	10	12	14	16
1.293	8	10	12	14	16
1.442	8	10	12	14	16
1.591	8	10	12	14	16
1.74	8	10	12	14	16
1.889	8	10	12	14	16
2.038	8	10	12	14	16
2.187	8	10	12	14	16
2.336	8	10	12	14	16
2.485	8	10	12	14	16
2.634	8	10	12	14	16
2.783	8	10	12	14	16

The data matrix to the left is the selection of data from the data table used to input the data. All entries have been selected. The matrix is exactly the same as the input data table

The statements below are the assignment statements defining the column arrays for the axial height followed by the five locations across the tube wall thickness.

$$Axl := Data^{(0)} \quad MD := Data^{(3)} \quad ID := Data^{(1)} \quad TQ := Data^{(4)} \quad QT := Data^{(2)} \quad OD := Data^{(5)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ID} &:= regress(Axl, ID, 3) & R_{QT} &:= regress(Axl, QT, 3) \\ R_{OD} &:= regress(Axl, OD, 3) \\ R_{MD} &:= regress(Axl, MD, 3) & R_{TQ} &:= regress(Axl, TQ, 3) \end{aligned}$$

The statement below defines the flaw location to be used in the analysis, based on the entry for the variable "Val" entered on the first page.

$$FL_{Cntr} := \begin{cases} Ref_{Point} - c_0 & \text{if } Val = 1 \\ Ref_{Point} & \text{if } Val = 2 \\ Ref_{Point} + c_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{Flaw center Location above Nozzle Bottom}$$

The two statements below are as follows:

- 1) The statement on the left defines the upper crack tip based on the flaw location determined above.
- 2) The statement on the right computes the segment height for the segments above the upper crack tip based on twenty equal segments.

$$U_{Tip} := FL_{Cntr} + c_0 \quad Inc_{Strs.avg} := \frac{UL_{Strs.Dist} - U_{Tip}}{20}$$

The statements below develops the through-wall stress profiles at the twenty-three segments (three segments for the initial flaw length and twenty segments above the upper tip of the flaw.

Calculation to develop Stress Profiles for Analysis

$N := 20$ *Number of locations for stress profiles*

$$Loc_0 := FL_{Cntr} - L$$

$$i := 1..N + 3 \qquad Incr_i := \begin{cases} c_0 & \text{if } i < 4 \\ Inc_{Strs.avg} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Loc_i := Loc_{i-1} + Incr_i$$

$$SID_i := R_{ID_3} + R_{ID_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{ID_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{ID_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

$$SQT_i := R_{QT_3} + R_{QT_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{QT_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{QT_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

$$SMD_i := R_{MD_3} + R_{MD_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{MD_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{MD_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

$$STQ_i := R_{TQ_3} + R_{TQ_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{TQ_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{TQ_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

$$SOD_i := R_{OD_3} + R_{OD_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{OD_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{OD_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

The statements below perform the moving average stress profile calculations. The first profile, at location 1, is the average profile for the initial crack. The remaining profiles are the average profiles for the twenty segments above the upper tip of the crack.

$$j := 1..N$$

$$S_{id,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SID_j + SID_{j+1} + SID_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{id,j-1} \cdot (j+1) + SID_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{qt,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SQT_j + SQT_{j+1} + SQT_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{qt,j-1} \cdot (j+1) + SQT_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{md,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SMD_j + SMD_{j+1} + SMD_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{md,j-1} \cdot (j+1) + SMD_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{tq,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{STQ_j + STQ_{j+1} + STQ_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{tq,j-1} \cdot (j+1) + STQ_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{od,j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SOD_j + SOD_{j+1} + SOD_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{od,j-1} \cdot (j+1) + SOD_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Presented below is the output at each location defined for the moving average stress profile. The first element in each array is for the average stress profile for the initial crack. The subsequent elements in each column array are for the equal segments above the upper tip of the flaw. Each column array represents one of the five locations across the wall thickness (marked).

ID	Quarter Thickness	Mid-Wall Thickness	Three -Quarter Wall Thickness	OD
$S_{id,j} =$	$S_{qt,j} =$	$S_{md,j} =$	$S_{tq,j} =$	$S_{od,j} =$
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16
8	10	12	14	16

The output of the moving average evaluation is the same as the input data. This ensures that the moving average technique is functioning properly.

Comparison of Edge Crack Model With Through-wall Model {SICF}

Developed by Central Engineering Programs, Entergy Operations Inc

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesan

Verified by: B. C. Gray

References :

- 1) Murakami; "Stress Intensity factors handbook"; 1.3 Single Edge Cracked Plate; page 771.

Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3

Component : Reactor Vessel CEDM -"0"degree Nozzle, All Azimuth 1.544 inch above Nozzle Bottom

In this worksheet a comparison between the SICF for an Edge Crack and the axial through-wall crack of the current model are compared. For the edge crack the SICF is dependent on the ratio of crack length to plate height. For the application to the CEDM nozzle the plate height can be assumed at three locations, these are:

- 1) The nozzle length upto the bottom to the J-weld (the bottom point of fixity for the nozzle)
- 2) The nozzle length upto the top of the J-weld (the upper point of fixity for the nozzle)
- 3) The nozzle length assuming no fixity.

For the current model only the SICF for the membrane loading is used for comparison because the SICF for these two conditions are separate and are applied to the SIF for equivalent plate geometry. Hence there is no single SIF that represents a composite SICF. However a comparison using the membrane SICF should facilitate a rational assessment.

The first Input is to locate the Reference Line (eg. top of the Blind Zone). The through-wall flaw "Upper Tip" is located at the Reference Line.

Enter the elevation of the Reference Line (eg. Blind Zone) above the nozzle bottom in inches.

BZ := 1.544

Location of Blind Zone above nozzle bottom (inch)

The Second Input is the Upper Limit for the evaluation, which is the bottom of the fillet weld leg. This is shown on the Excel spread sheet as weld bottom. Enter this dimension (measured from nozzle bottom) below.

UL_{Strs.Dist} := 1.796

Upper axial Extent for Stress Distribution to be used in the analysis (Axial distance above nozzle bottom)

The input data below are only for those variables essential to this assessment.

Input Data :-

$L := .794$	Initial Flaw Length TW axial
$od := 4.05$	Tube OD
$id := 2.728$	Tube ID
$P_{Int} := 2.235$	Design Operating Pressure (internal)
$\nu := 0.307$	Poissons ratio at 600 deg. F

$$R_o := \frac{od}{2} \quad R_i := \frac{id}{2} \quad t := R_o - R_i \quad R_m := R_i + \frac{t}{2} \quad N := 500$$

The plate height are set to three elevations as follows:

- 1) Bottom of the J-weld.
- 2) Top of the J-weld.
- 3) Full length of Nozzle.

$$b := UL_{Strs.Dist} \quad \text{Bottom of J-weld}$$

$$b_1 := 2.886 \quad \text{Top of J-Weld}$$

$$b_2 := 20 \quad \text{Top of Nozzle} \quad Inc := \frac{b}{N}$$

It is important to note that the SICF for the Edge Crack model are limited to the a/b ratio (Crack length/height) of 0.6. Therefore, for the crack length when the a/b ratio is violated are as shown below.

$$\text{Case 1: Plate height equal to nozzle length to bottom of weld:-} \quad b \cdot 0.6 = 1.078$$

$$\text{Case 2: Plate height equal to top of J-weld:-} \quad b_1 \cdot 0.6 = 1.732$$

$$\text{Case 3: Plate height equal to Nozzle Length :-} \quad b_2 \cdot 0.6 = 12$$

Calculations :

$$a_0 := 0$$

$$j := 1..N - 1$$

$$a_j := a_{j-1} + \text{Inc} \quad x_j := \frac{a_j}{b} \quad x_{1j} := \frac{a_j}{b_1} \quad x_{2j} := \frac{a_j}{b_2}$$

Brown and Srawley Model For edge Crack in a Plate

$$F_{bsj} := 1.12 - 0.231 \cdot x_j + 10.55 \cdot (x_j)^2 - 21.72 \cdot (x_j)^3 + 30.39 \cdot (x_j)^4 \quad \text{Plate height as length below Fillet weld to tube bottom}$$

$$F_{bs1j} := 1.12 - 0.231 \cdot x_{1j} + 10.55 \cdot (x_{1j})^2 - 21.72 \cdot (x_{1j})^3 + 30.39 \cdot (x_{1j})^4 \quad \text{Plate height as length below Top of J-weld to tube bottom}$$

$$F_{bs2j} := 1.12 - 0.231 \cdot x_{2j} + 10.55 \cdot (x_{2j})^2 - 21.72 \cdot (x_{2j})^3 + 30.39 \cdot (x_{2j})^4 \quad \text{Plate height as Full length of Nozzle}$$

Through-wall Axial crack in a Thick Cylinder (Entergy Model)

$$\lambda_j := \left[\frac{12 \cdot (1 - \nu^2)^{0.25} \cdot \frac{a_j}{2}}{(R_m \cdot t)^{0.5}} \right]$$

$$AeM_j := 1.009 + 0.3621 \cdot \lambda_j + 0.0565 \cdot (\lambda_j)^2 - 0.0082 \cdot (\lambda_j)^3 + 0.0004 \cdot (\lambda_j)^4 - 8.326 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot (\lambda_j)^5$$


$$AeB_j := 0.0029 + 0.0707 \cdot (\lambda_j)^1 - 0.0197 \cdot (\lambda_j)^2 + 0.0034 \cdot (\lambda_j)^3 - 0.0003 \cdot (\lambda_j)^4 + 8.8052 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot (\lambda_j)^5$$

$$AbM_j := -0.0063 + 0.919 \cdot \lambda_j - 0.168 \cdot (\lambda_j)^2 - 0.0052 \cdot (\lambda_j)^3 + 0.0008 \cdot (\lambda_j)^4 - 2.9701 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot (\lambda_j)^5$$


$$AbB_j := 0.9961 - 0.3806 \cdot \lambda_j + 0.1239 \cdot (\lambda_j)^2 - 0.0211 \cdot (\lambda_j)^3 + 0.0017 \cdot (\lambda_j)^4 - 4.9939 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot (\lambda_j)^5$$

$$A_{Mj} := AeM_j + AbM_j$$


$$A_{Bj} := AeB_j + AbB_j$$



Axum Plot showing SICF for Edge Crack for different Panel Heights



Axum Plot showing SICF Comparison between Edge Crack SICF and Current Model SICF



Comparison of Surface Crack Models : Conventional Model with the Current Model

Developed by Central Engineering Programs, Entergy Operations Inc

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesar

Verified by: B. C. Gray

References :

- 1) "Stress Intensity factors for Part-through Surface cracks"; NASA TM-11707; July 1992.
- 2) Crack Growth of Alloy 600 Base Metal in PWR Environments; EPRI MRP Report MRP 55 Rev. 1, 2002

Purpose :- This worksheet is used to compare the crack growth and SIF results between the conventional model (using a fixed R/t ratio and a fixed flaw aspect ratio- a/c) and the current model. The current model uses the R/t ratio appropriate to the CEDM nozzle tube geometry and the flaw aspect ratio is not fixed. The flaw aspect ratio is determined at each crack growth interval based on the separate growth for both the depth direction (a-tip) and the length direction (c-tip). Therefore, the current model permits the evaluation of crack growth through the wall thickness and along the nozzle surface simultaneously. The evaluation, using the same residual stresses distribution, compares the results from both models. The worksheet is essentially the same as that used in the analyses. The only difference is that a separate loop. The graphical presentations towards the end of the worksheet present the comparative results.

Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3

Component : Reactor Vessel CEDM -"8.8" Degree Nozzle, "0" Degree Azimuth,
1.544" above Nozzle Bottom

Calculation Basis: MRP 75 th Percentile and Flaw Face Pressurized

Note : *Used the Metric form of the equation from EPRI MRP 55-Rev. 1.*

The correction is applied in the determination of the crack extension to obtain the value in inch/hr .

OD Surface Flaw

The first Required input is a location for a point on the tube elevation to define the point of interest (e.g. The top of the Blind Zone, or bottom of fillet weld etc.). This reference point is necessary to evaluate the stress distribution on the flaw both for the initial flaw and for a growing flaw. This is defined as the reference point. Enter a number (inch) that represents the reference point elevation measured upward from the nozzle end.

$$\text{Ref}_{\text{point}} := 1.544$$

To place the flaw with respect to the reference point, the flaw tips and center can be located as follows:

- 1) The Upper "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 1)*
- 2) The Center of the flaw at the reference point (Enter 2)*
- 3) The lower "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 3).*

$$\text{Val} := 2$$

Input Data :-

$L := 0.3966$	Initial Flaw Length
$a_0 := 0.0661$	Initial Flaw Depth
$od := 4.05$	Tube OD
$id := 2.728$	Tube ID
$P_{\text{Int}} := 2.235$	Design Operating Pressure (internal)
$\text{Years} := 4$	Number of Operating Years
$I_{\text{lim}} := 1500$	Iteration limit for Crack Growth loop
$T := 604$	Estimate of Operating Temperature
$\alpha_{0c} := 2.67 \cdot 10^{-12}$	Constant in MRP PWSCC Model for I-600 Wrought @ 617 deg. F
$Q_g := 31.0$	Thermal activation Energy for Crack Growth {MRP}
$T_{\text{ref}} := 617$	Reference Temperature for normalizing Data deg. F

$$R_o := \frac{od}{2} \quad R_{id} := \frac{id}{2} \quad t := R_o - R_{id} \quad R_m := R_{id} + \frac{t}{2} \quad Tim_{opr} := \text{Years} \cdot 365 \cdot 24$$

$$CF_{inhr} := 1.417 \cdot 10^5 \quad C_{blk} := \frac{Tim_{opr}}{I_{lim}} \quad Prnt_{blk} := \left| \frac{I_{lim}}{50} \right| \quad c_0 := \frac{L}{2} \quad R_t := \frac{R_m}{t}$$

$$C_{01} := e^{\left[\frac{-Q_g}{1.103 \cdot 10^{-3}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T+459.67} - \frac{1}{T_{ref}+459.67} \right) \right]} \cdot \alpha_{0c} \quad \text{Temperature Correction for Coefficient Alpha}$$

$$C_0 := C_{01}$$

75th percentile MRP-55 Revision 1

Stress Input Data

Input all available Nodal stress data in the table below. The column designations are as follows:
Column "0" = Axial distance from minimum to maximum recorded on data sheet(inches)
Column "1" = ID Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)
Column "2" = Quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)
Column "3" = Mid Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)
Column "4" = Three Quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)
Column "5" = OD Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)


AllData :=

	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	-27.4	-24.36	-22.21	-20.41	-18.98
1	0.48	0.63	-1.49	-3.6	-4.44	-5.27
2	0.87	17.66	16.42	14.61	12.41	9.38
3	1.18	29.8	26.05	22.72	18.95	14.2
4	1.43	33.62	27.79	24.8	24.32	26.99
5	1.63	32.36	28.47	27.59	34.28	45.1
6	1.79	27.39	28.92	31.39	43.88	63.72
7	1.92	21.5	25.56	33.55	48.09	66.36
8	2.05	16.94	23.79	34.06	49.47	67.67
9	2.18	14.83	22.26	34.78	49.05	63.38

AXLen := AllData⁽⁰⁾

ID_{All} := AllData⁽¹⁾

OD_{All} := AllData⁽⁵⁾



Observing the stress distribution select the region in the table above labeled $Data_{All}$ that represents the region of interest. This needs to be done especially for distributions that have a large compressive stress at the nozzle bottom and high tensile stresses at the J-weld location. Copy the selection in the above table, click on the "Data" statement below and delete it from the edit menu. Type "Data and the Mathcad "equal" sign (Shift-Colon) then insert the same to the right of the Mathcad Equals sign below (paste symbol).

Data :=

0	-27.404	-24.356	-22.209	-20.407	-18.978
0.483	0.633	-1.486	-3.599	-4.44	-5.268
0.87	17.665	16.422	14.61	12.415	9.376
1.18	29.798	26.049	22.723	18.95	14.201
1.428	33.623	27.792	24.8	24.321	26.989
1.627	32.364	28.469	27.591	34.284	45.104
1.786	27.394	28.918	31.388	43.882	63.718
1.919	21.498	25.556	33.55	48.089	66.365
2.051	16.944	23.793	34.064	49.472	67.672

AXL := Data⁽⁰⁾

MD := Data⁽³⁾

ID := Data⁽¹⁾

TQ := Data⁽⁴⁾

QT := Data⁽²⁾

OD := Data⁽⁵⁾

$$R_{ID} := \text{regress}(Axl, ID, 3)$$

$$R_{QT} := \text{regress}(Axl, QT, 3)$$

$$R_{OD} := \text{regress}(Axl, OD, 3)$$

$$R_{MD} := \text{regress}(Axl, MD, 3)$$

$$R_{TQ} := \text{regress}(Axl, TQ, 3)$$

$$UL_{Strs.Dist} := 1.786 \quad \text{Upper Axial Extent for Stress Distribution to be used in the Analysis (Axial distance above nozzle bottom)}$$

$$FL_{Cntr} := \begin{cases} Ref_{Point} - c_0 & \text{if Val} = 1 \\ Ref_{Point} & \text{if Val} = 2 \\ Ref_{Point} + c_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{Flaw center Location Location above Nozzle Bottom}$$

$$U_{Tip} := FL_{Cntr} + c_0 \quad Inc_{Strs.avg} := \frac{UL_{Strs.Dist} - U_{Tip}}{20}$$

No User Input is required beyond this Point

Calculation to Develop Hoop Stress Profiles in the Axial Direction for Fracture Mechanics Analysis

$$N := 20 \quad \text{Number of locations for stress profiles}$$

$$Loc_0 := FL_{Cntr} - L$$

$$i := 1..N + 3 \quad Incr_i := \begin{cases} c_0 & \text{if } i < 4 \\ Inc_{Strs.avg} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Loc_i := Loc_{i-1} + Incr_i$$

$$SID_i := R_{ID_3} + R_{ID_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{ID_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{ID_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

$$SQT_i := R_{QT_3} + R_{QT_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{QT_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{QT_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

$$SMD_i := R_{MD_3} + R_{MD_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{MD_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + \left[R_{MD_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3 \right]$$

$$STQ_i := R_{TQ_3} + R_{TQ_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{TQ_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{TQ_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

$$SOD_i := R_{OD_3} + R_{OD_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{OD_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{OD_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

Development of Elevation-Averaged stresses at 20 elevations along the tube for use in Fracture Mechanics Model

$$j := 1..N$$

$$S_{id_j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SID_j + SID_{j+1} + SID_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{id_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SID_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{qt_j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SQT_j + SQT_{j+1} + SQT_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{qt_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SQT_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{md_j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SMD_j + SMD_{j+1} + SMD_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{md_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SMD_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{tq_j} := \begin{cases} \frac{STQ_j + STQ_{j+1} + STQ_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{tq_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + STQ_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{od_j} := \begin{cases} \frac{SOD_j + SOD_{j+1} + SOD_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{od_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SOD_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Elevation-Averaged Hoop Stress Distribution for OD Flaws (i.e. OD to ID Stress distribution)

$$u_0 := 0.000 \quad u_1 := 0.25 \quad u_2 := 0.50 \quad u_3 := 0.75 \quad u_4 := 1.00$$

$$Y := \text{stack}(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$$

$$SIG_1 := \text{stack}(S_{od_1}, S_{tq_1}, S_{md_1}, S_{qt_1}, S_{id_1})$$

$$SIG_2 := \text{stack}(S_{od_2}, S_{tq_2}, S_{md_2}, S_{qt_2}, S_{id_2})$$

$$SIG_3 := \text{stack}(S_{od_3}, S_{tq_3}, S_{md_3}, S_{qt_3}, S_{id_3})$$

$$SIG_4 := \text{stack}(S_{od_4}, S_{tq_4}, S_{md_4}, S_{qt_4}, S_{id_4})$$

$$SIG_5 := \text{stack}(S_{od_5}, S_{tq_5}, S_{md_5}, S_{qt_5}, S_{id_5})$$

$$SIG_6 := \text{stack}(S_{od_6}, S_{tq_6}, S_{md_6}, S_{qt_6}, S_{id_6})$$

$$SIG_7 := \text{stack}(S_{od_7}, S_{tq_7}, S_{md_7}, S_{qt_7}, S_{id_7})$$

$$SIG_8 := \text{stack}(S_{od_8}, S_{tq_8}, S_{md_8}, S_{qt_8}, S_{id_8})$$

$$SIG_9 := \text{stack}(S_{od_9}, S_{tq_9}, S_{md_9}, S_{qt_9}, S_{id_9})$$

$$SIG_{10} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{10}}, S_{tq_{10}}, S_{md_{10}}, S_{qt_{10}}, S_{id_{10}})$$

$$SIG_{11} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{11}}, S_{tq_{11}}, S_{md_{11}}, S_{qt_{11}}, S_{id_{11}})$$

$$SIG_{12} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{12}}, S_{tq_{12}}, S_{md_{12}}, S_{qt_{12}}, S_{id_{12}})$$

$$\text{SIG}_{13} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{13}}, S_{tq_{13}}, S_{md_{13}}, S_{qt_{13}}, S_{id_{13}})$$

$$\text{SIG}_{14} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{14}}, S_{tq_{14}}, S_{md_{14}}, S_{qt_{14}}, S_{id_{14}})$$

$$\text{SIG}_{15} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{15}}, S_{tq_{15}}, S_{md_{15}}, S_{qt_{15}}, S_{id_{15}})$$

$$\text{SIG}_{16} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{16}}, S_{tq_{16}}, S_{md_{16}}, S_{qt_{16}}, S_{id_{16}})$$

$$\text{SIG}_{17} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{17}}, S_{tq_{17}}, S_{md_{17}}, S_{qt_{17}}, S_{id_{17}})$$

$$\text{SIG}_{18} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{18}}, S_{tq_{18}}, S_{md_{18}}, S_{qt_{18}}, S_{id_{18}})$$

$$\text{SIG}_{19} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{19}}, S_{tq_{19}}, S_{md_{19}}, S_{qt_{19}}, S_{id_{19}})$$

$$\text{SIG}_{20} := \text{stack}(S_{od_{20}}, S_{tq_{20}}, S_{md_{20}}, S_{qt_{20}}, S_{id_{20}})$$

Regression of Through-wall Stress distribution to obtain Stress Coefficients through-wall using a Third Order polynomial

$$\text{ODRG}_1 := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_1, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_2 := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_2, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_3 := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_3, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_4 := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_4, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_5 := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_5, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_6 := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_6, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_7 := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_7, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_8 := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_8, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_9 := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_9, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{10} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{10}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{11} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{11}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{12} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{12}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{13} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{13}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{14} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{14}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{15} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{15}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{16} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{16}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{17} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{17}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{18} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{18}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{19} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{19}, 3)$$

$$\text{ODRG}_{20} := \text{regress}(Y, \text{SIG}_{20}, 3)$$

Stress Distribution in the tube. Stress influence coefficients obtained from third order polynomial curve fit to the throughway stress distribution

$$\text{PropLength} := \text{UL}_{\text{Strs.Dist}} - \text{FL}_{\text{Cntr}} - c_0$$

$$\text{PropLength} = 0.044$$

**Data Files for Flaw Shape Factors from NASA (NASA-TM-111707-SC04 Model)
{NO INPUT Required}**

**Mettu Raju Newman Sivakumar Forman Solution of ID Part through-wall Flaw in
Cylinder**

Jsb :=

	0	1	2
0	1.000	0.200	0.000
1	1.000	0.200	0.200
2	1.000	0.200	0.500
3	1.000	0.200	0.800
4	1.000	0.200	1.000
5	1.000	0.400	0.000
6	1.000	0.400	0.200
7	1.000	0.400	0.500
8	1.000	0.400	0.800
9	1.000	0.400	1.000
10	1.000	1.000	0.000
11	1.000	1.000	0.200
12	1.000	1.000	0.500
13	1.000	1.000	0.800
14	1.000	1.000	1.000
15	2.000	0.200	0.000
16	2.000	0.200	0.200
17	2.000	0.200	0.500
18	2.000	0.200	0.800
19	2.000	0.200	1.000
20	2.000	0.400	0.000
21	2.000	0.400	0.200
22	2.000	0.400	0.500
23	2.000	0.400	0.800

Developed by:
J. S. Brihmadesar

Verified by:
B. C. Gray

24	2.000	0.400	1.000
25	2.000	1.000	0.000
26	2.000	1.000	0.200
27	2.000	1.000	0.500
28	2.000	1.000	0.800
29	2.000	1.000	1.000
30	4.000	0.200	0.000
31	4.000	0.200	0.200
32	4.000	0.200	0.500
33	4.000	0.200	0.800
34	4.000	0.200	1.000
35	4.000	0.400	0.000
36	4.000	0.400	0.200
37	4.000	0.400	0.500
38	4.000	0.400	0.800
39	4.000	0.400	1.000
40	4.000	1.000	0.000
41	4.000	1.000	0.200
42	4.000	1.000	0.500
43	4.000	1.000	0.800
44	4.000	1.000	1.000
45	10.000	0.200	0.000
46	10.000	0.200	0.200
47	10.000	0.200	0.500
48	10.000	0.200	0.800
49	10.000	0.200	1.000
50	10.000	0.400	0.000
51	10.000	0.400	0.200
52	10.000	0.400	0.500
53	10.000	0.400	0.800
54	10.000	0.400	1.000
55	10.000	1.000	0.000
56	10.000	1.000	0.200
57	10.000	1.000	0.500
58	10.000	1.000	0.800
59	10.000	1.000	1.000
60	300.000	0.200	0.000
61	300.000	0.200	0.200
62	300.000	0.200	0.500
63	300.000	0.200	0.800
64	300.000	0.200	1.000
65	300.000	0.400	0.000
66	300.000	0.400	0.200

Developed by:
J. S. Brihmadesar

Verified by:
B. C. Gray

67	300.000	0.400	0.500
68	300.000	0.400	0.800
69	300.000	0.400	1.000
70	300.000	1.000	0.000
71	300.000	1.000	0.200
72	300.000	1.000	0.500
73	300.000	1.000	0.800
74	300.000	1.000	1.000

Sambi :=

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	1.244	0.754	0.564	0.454	0.755	0.153	0.06	0.032
1	1.237	0.719	0.536	0.435	0.594	0.076	0.021	0.009
2	1.641	0.867	0.615	0.486	0.648	0.089	0.026	0.011
3	2.965	1.336	0.858	0.635	1.293	0.271	0.109	0.058
4	4.498	1.839	1.107	0.783	2.129	0.481	0.202	0.11
5	1.146	0.716	0.546	0.448	0.889	0.17	0.064	0.032
6	1.175	0.709	0.539	0.444	0.809	0.132	0.046	0.023
7	1.452	0.806	0.589	0.474	0.934	0.17	0.064	0.033
8	2.119	1.046	0.714	0.55	1.492	0.329	0.136	0.073
9	2.8	1.279	0.833	0.621	2.143	0.497	0.21	0.114
10	1.03	0.715	0.577	0.49	1.148	0.202	0.076	0.039
11	1.054	0.725	0.586	0.499	1.202	0.214	0.081	0.042
12	1.146	0.76	0.606	0.513	1.354	0.256	0.1	0.053
13	1.305	0.817	0.634	0.527	1.594	0.327	0.133	0.071
14	1.412	0.866	0.657	0.537	1.796	0.387	0.161	0.087
15	1.111	0.688	0.522	0.426	0.72	0.121	0.041	0.02
16	1.193	0.7	0.524	0.427	0.611	0.079	0.022	0.01
17	1.655	0.868	0.614	0.484	0.693	0.105	0.035	0.017
18	2.732	1.255	0.817	0.609	1.207	0.245	0.097	0.051
19	3.842	1.634	1.009	0.726	1.826	0.395	0.162	0.086
20	1.077	0.685	0.528	0.436	0.817	0.14	0.049	0.023
21	1.136	0.692	0.528	0.436	0.796	0.13	0.046	0.022
22	1.403	0.785	0.576	0.465	0.959	0.182	0.071	0.037
23	1.942	0.984	0.682	0.53	1.425	0.315	0.131	0.071
24	2.454	1.168	0.78	0.591	1.915	0.443	0.188	0.102
25	1.02	0.72	0.585	0.498	1.152	0.196	0.072	0.036
26	1.044	0.722	0.584	0.498	1.185	0.209	0.079	0.041
27	1.117	0.746	0.597	0.505	1.318	0.25	0.098	0.052

28	1.236	0.797	0.625	0.523	1.56	0.315	0.127	0.068
29	1.335	0.844	0.652	0.538	1.775	0.37	0.151	0.08
30	1.009	0.65	0.507	0.427	0.589	0.073	0.018	0.006
31	1.162	0.691	0.524	0.434	0.612	0.08	0.023	0.01
32	1.64	0.861	0.613	0.488	0.786	0.134	0.049	0.025
33	2.51	1.178	0.782	0.596	1.16	0.242	0.097	0.051
34	3.313	1.464	0.932	0.693	1.517	0.339	0.139	0.073
35	1	0.655	0.518	0.44	0.754	0.118	0.036	0.017
36	1.109	0.685	0.53	0.445	0.793	0.13	0.045	0.022
37	1.36	0.773	0.575	0.472	0.994	0.195	0.078	0.041
38	1.727	0.914	0.653	0.523	1.4	0.318	0.134	0.073
39	2.025	1.032	0.72	0.568	1.781	0.427	0.181	0.1
40	0.986	0.711	0.589	0.513	1.127	0.189	0.068	0.034
41	1.03	0.72	0.591	0.513	1.163	0.204	0.077	0.04
42	1.094	0.743	0.603	0.52	1.286	0.243	0.096	0.051
43	1.156	0.777	0.625	0.536	1.498	0.302	0.122	0.064
44	1.194	0.804	0.644	0.551	1.681	0.35	0.142	0.073
45	0.981	0.636	0.501	0.422	0.598	0.078	0.02	0.007
46	1.147	0.685	0.521	0.432	0.612	0.08	0.023	0.01
47	1.584	0.839	0.6	0.48	0.806	0.142	0.053	0.028
48	2.298	1.099	0.739	0.568	1.262	0.277	0.114	0.062
49	2.921	1.323	0.859	0.645	1.715	0.402	0.169	0.092
50	0.975	0.645	0.516	0.439	0.75	0.114	0.036	0.017
51	1.096	0.68	0.528	0.444	0.788	0.128	0.045	0.022
52	1.31	0.755	0.565	0.466	0.984	0.192	0.076	0.04
53	1.565	0.858	0.625	0.505	1.378	0.309	0.129	0.07
54	1.749	0.938	0.675	0.539	1.747	0.411	0.174	0.095
55	0.982	0.709	0.588	0.515	1.123	0.188	0.068	0.034
56	1.025	0.718	0.59	0.513	1.156	0.202	0.076	0.039
57	1.078	0.738	0.6	0.518	1.266	0.236	0.092	0.048
58	1.118	0.765	0.619	0.533	1.453	0.286	0.113	0.059
59	1.137	0.786	0.636	0.548	1.613	0.326	0.129	0.067
60	0.936	0.62	0.486	0.405	0.582	0.068	0.015	0.005
61	1.145	0.681	0.514	0.42	0.613	0.081	0.024	0.011
62	1.459	0.79	0.569	0.454	0.79	0.138	0.051	0.026
63	1.774	0.917	0.641	0.501	1.148	0.239	0.096	0.051
64	1.974	1.008	0.696	0.537	1.482	0.328	0.134	0.07
65	0.982	0.651	0.512	0.427	0.721	0.103	0.031	0.013
66	1.095	0.677	0.52	0.431	0.782	0.127	0.045	0.022
67	1.244	0.727	0.546	0.446	0.946	0.18	0.071	0.037
68	1.37	0.791	0.585	0.473	1.201	0.253	0.102	0.054
69	1.438	0.838	0.618	0.496	1.413	0.31	0.126	0.066

$$W := \text{Jsbi}^{(0)}$$

$$X := \text{Jsbi}^{(1)}$$

$$Y := \text{Jsbi}^{(2)}$$

$$a_U := \text{Sambi}^{(0)}$$

$$a_L := \text{Sambi}^{(1)}$$

$$a_Q := \text{Sambi}^{(2)}$$

$$a_C := \text{Sambi}^{(3)}$$

$$c_U := \text{Sambi}^{(4)}$$

$$c_L := \text{Sambi}^{(5)}$$

$$c_Q := \text{Sambi}^{(6)}$$

$$c_C := \text{Sambi}^{(7)}$$

$$n := \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } R_t \leq 4.0 \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

"a-Tip" Uniform Term

$$M_{aU} := \text{augment}(W, X, Y) \quad V_{aU} := a_U \quad R_{aU} := \text{regress}(M_{aU}, V_{aU}, n)$$

$$f_{aU}(W, X, Y) := \text{interp} \left[R_{aU}, M_{aU}, V_{aU}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$f_{aU}(4, .4, .8) = 1.741$$

Check Calculation

Linear Term

$$M_{aL} := \text{augment}(W, X, Y) \quad V_{aL} := a_L \quad R_{aL} := \text{regress}(M_{aL}, V_{aL}, n)$$

$$f_{aL}(W, X, Y) := \text{interp} \left[R_{aL}, M_{aL}, V_{aL}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$f_{aL}(4,.4,.8) = 0.919 \quad \text{Check Calculation}$$

Quadratic Term

$$M_{aQ} := \text{augment}(W, X, Y) \quad V_{aQ} := a_Q \quad R_{aQ} := \text{regress}(M_{aQ}, V_{aQ}, n)$$

$$f_{aQ}(W, X, Y) := \text{interp} \left[R_{aQ}, M_{aQ}, V_{aQ}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$f_{aQ}(4,.4,.8) = 0.656 \quad \text{Check Calculation}$$

Cubic Term

$$M_{aC} := \text{augment}(W, X, Y) \quad V_{aC} := a_C \quad R_{aC} := \text{regress}(M_{aC}, V_{aC}, n)$$

$$f_{aC}(W, X, Y) := \text{interp} \left[R_{aC}, M_{aC}, V_{aC}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$f_{aC}(4,.4,.8) = 0.524 \quad \text{Check Calculation}$$

"C" Tip Coefficients

Uniform Term

$$M_{cU} := \text{augment}(W, X, Y) \quad V_{cU} := c_U \quad R_{cU} := \text{regress}(M_{cU}, V_{cU}, n)$$

$$f_{cU}(W, X, Y) := \text{interp} \left[R_{cU}, M_{cU}, V_{cU}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$f_{cU}(4, .4, .8) = 1.371 \quad \text{Check Calculation}$$

Linear Term

$$M_{cL} := \text{augment}(W, X, Y) \quad V_{cL} := c_L \quad R_{cL} := \text{regress}(M_{cL}, V_{cL}, n)$$

$$f_{cL}(W, X, Y) := \text{interp} \left[R_{cL}, M_{cL}, V_{cL}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$f_{cL}(2, .4, .8) = 0.319 \quad \text{Check Calculation}$$

Quadratic Term

$$M_{cQ} := \text{augment}(W, X, Y) \quad V_{cQ} := c_Q \quad R_{cQ} := \text{regress}(M_{cQ}, V_{cQ}, n)$$

$$f_{cQ}(W, X, Y) := \text{interp} \left[R_{cQ}, M_{cQ}, V_{cQ}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$f_{cQ}(4, .4, .8) = 0.126 \quad \text{Check Calculation}$$

Cubic Term

$$M_{cC} := \text{augment}(W, X, Y) \quad V_{cC} := c_C \quad R_{cC} := \text{regress}(M_{cC}, V_{cC}, n)$$

$$f_{cC}(W, X, Y) := \text{interp} \left[R_{cC}, M_{cC}, V_{cC}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$f_{cC}(4, .4, .8) = 0.068 \quad \text{Check Calculation}$$

Calculations : Recursive calculations to estimate flaw growth.

Recursive Loop for Calculation of PWSCC Crack Growth Entergy Model

```

CGRsambi := | j ← 0
              | a0 ← a0
              | c0 ← c0
              | NCB0 ← Cblk
              | while j ≤ Ilim
                |   σ0 ← | ODRG13 if cj ≤ c0
                        | ODRG23 if c0 < cj ≤ c0 + IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG33 if c0 + IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 2·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG43 if c0 + 2·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 3·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG53 if c0 + 3·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 4·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG63 if c0 + 4·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 5·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG73 if c0 + 5·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 6·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG83 if c0 + 6·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 7·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG93 if c0 + 7·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 8·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG103 if c0 + 8·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 9·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG113 if c0 + 9·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 10·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG123 if c0 + 10·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 11·IncStrs.avg
                        | ODRG133 if c0 + 11·IncStrs.avg < cj ≤ c0 + 12·IncStrs.avg

```

	ODRG ₁₄ ₃ if $c_0 + 12 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 13 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₅ ₃ if $c_0 + 13 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 14 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₆ ₃ if $c_0 + 14 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 15 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₇ ₃ if $c_0 + 15 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 16 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₈ ₃ if $c_0 + 16 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 17 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₉ ₃ if $c_0 + 17 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 18 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₂₀ ₃ otherwise
$\sigma_1 \leftarrow$	ODRG ₁ ₄ if $c_j \leq c_0$
	ODRG ₂ ₄ if $c_0 < c_j \leq c_0 + \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₃ ₄ if $c_0 + \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 2 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₄ ₄ if $c_0 + 2 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 3 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₅ ₄ if $c_0 + 3 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 4 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₆ ₄ if $c_0 + 4 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 5 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₇ ₄ if $c_0 + 5 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 6 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₈ ₄ if $c_0 + 6 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 7 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₉ ₄ if $c_0 + 7 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 8 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₀ ₄ if $c_0 + 8 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 9 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₁ ₄ if $c_0 + 9 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 10 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₂ ₄ if $c_0 + 10 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 11 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₃ ₄ if $c_0 + 11 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 12 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₄ ₄ if $c_0 + 12 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 13 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG ₁₅ ₄ if $c_0 + 13 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 14 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$

		ODRG _{16₄} if $c_0 + 14 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 15 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
		ODRG _{17₄} if $c_0 + 15 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 16 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
		ODRG _{18₄} if $c_0 + 16 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 17 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
		ODRG _{19₄} if $c_0 + 17 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 18 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
		ODRG _{20₄} otherwise
$\sigma_2 \leftarrow$	ODRG _{1₅}	if $c_j \leq c_0$
	ODRG _{2₅}	if $c_0 < c_j \leq c_0 + \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{3₅}	if $c_0 + \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 2 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{4₅}	if $c_0 + 2 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 3 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{5₅}	if $c_0 + 3 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 4 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{6₅}	if $c_0 + 4 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 5 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{7₅}	if $c_0 + 5 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 6 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{8₅}	if $c_0 + 6 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 7 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{9₅}	if $c_0 + 7 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 8 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{10₅}	if $c_0 + 8 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 9 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{11₅}	if $c_0 + 9 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 10 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{12₅}	if $c_0 + 10 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 11 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{13₅}	if $c_0 + 11 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 12 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{14₅}	if $c_0 + 12 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 13 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{15₅}	if $c_0 + 13 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 14 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{16₅}	if $c_0 + 14 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 15 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$

		ODRG _{17₅} if $c_0 + 15 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 16 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
		ODRG _{18₅} if $c_0 + 16 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 17 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
		ODRG _{19₅} if $c_0 + 17 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 18 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
		ODRG _{20₅} otherwise
$\sigma_3 \leftarrow$	ODRG _{1₆}	if $c_j \leq c_0$
	ODRG _{2₆}	if $c_0 < c_j \leq c_0 + \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{3₆}	if $c_0 + \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 2 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{4₆}	if $c_0 + 2 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 3 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{5₆}	if $c_0 + 3 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 4 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{6₆}	if $c_0 + 4 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 5 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{7₆}	if $c_0 + 5 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 6 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{8₆}	if $c_0 + 6 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 7 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{9₆}	if $c_0 + 7 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 8 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{10₆}	if $c_0 + 8 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 9 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{11₆}	if $c_0 + 9 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 10 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{12₆}	if $c_0 + 10 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 11 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{13₆}	if $c_0 + 11 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 12 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{14₆}	if $c_0 + 12 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 13 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{15₆}	if $c_0 + 13 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 14 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{16₆}	if $c_0 + 14 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 15 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{17₆}	if $c_0 + 15 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 16 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$
	ODRG _{18₆}	if $c_0 + 16 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg} < c_j \leq c_0 + 17 \cdot \text{IncStrs.avg}$

$$\begin{cases} \text{ODRG}_{19_6} & \text{if } c_0 + 17 \cdot \text{Inc}_{\text{Strs.avg}} < c_j \leq c_0 + 18 \cdot \text{Inc}_{\text{Strs.avg}} \\ \text{ODRG}_{20_6} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\xi_0 \leftarrow \sigma_0$$

$$\xi_1 \leftarrow \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_j}{t} \right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_j}{t} \right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_j}{t} \right)^3$$

$$\xi_2 \leftarrow \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_j}{t} \right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_j}{t} \right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_j}{t} \right)^3$$

$$\xi_3 \leftarrow \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_j}{t} \right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_j}{t} \right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_j}{t} \right)^3$$

$$\xi_4 \leftarrow \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \left(\frac{1.0 \cdot a_j}{t} \right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1.0 \cdot a_j}{t} \right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{1.0 \cdot a_j}{t} \right)^3$$

$$x_0 \leftarrow 0.0$$

$$x_1 \leftarrow 0.25$$

$$x_2 \leftarrow 0.5$$

$$x_3 \leftarrow 0.75$$

$$x_4 \leftarrow 1.0$$

$$X \leftarrow \text{stack}(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

$$ST \leftarrow \text{stack}(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4)$$

$$RG \leftarrow \text{regress}(X, ST, 3)$$

$$\sigma_{00} \leftarrow RG_3 + P_{\text{Int}}$$

$$\sigma_{10} \leftarrow RG_4$$

$$\sigma_{20} \leftarrow RG_5$$

$$\sigma_{30} \leftarrow RG_6$$

$$AR_j \leftarrow \frac{a_j}{c_j}$$

$$AT_j \leftarrow \frac{a_j}{t}$$

$$G_{au_j} \leftarrow f_{aU}(R_t, AR_j, AT_j)$$

$$G_{al_j} \leftarrow f_{aL}(R_t, AR_j, AT_j)$$

$$G_{aq_j} \leftarrow f_{aQ}(R_t, AR_j, AT_j)$$

$$G_{ac_j} \leftarrow f_{aC}(R_t, AR_j, AT_j)$$

$$G_{cu_j} \leftarrow f_{cU}(R_t, AR_j, AT_j)$$

$$G_{cl_j} \leftarrow f_{cL}(R_t, AR_j, AT_j)$$

$$G_{cq_j} \leftarrow f_{cQ}(R_t, AR_j, AT_j)$$

$$G_{cc_j} \leftarrow f_{cC}(R_t, AR_j, AT_j)$$

$$Q_j \leftarrow \begin{cases} 1 + 1.464 \cdot \left(\frac{a_j}{c_j}\right)^{1.65} & \text{if } c_j \geq a_j \\ 1 + 1.464 \cdot \left(\frac{c_j}{a_j}\right)^{1.65} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$K_{a_j} \leftarrow \left(\frac{\pi \cdot a_j}{Q_j}\right)^{0.5} \cdot (\sigma_{00} \cdot G_{au_j} + \sigma_{10} \cdot G_{al_j} + \sigma_{20} \cdot G_{aq_j} + \sigma_{30} \cdot G_{ac_j})$$

$$K_{c_j} \leftarrow \left(\frac{\pi \cdot c_j}{Q_j}\right)^{0.5} \cdot (\sigma_{00} \cdot G_{cu_j} + \sigma_{10} \cdot G_{cl_j} + \sigma_{20} \cdot G_{cq_j} + \sigma_{30} \cdot G_{cc_j})$$

$$K_{\alpha_j} \leftarrow K_{a_j} \cdot 1.099$$

$$K_{\gamma_j} \leftarrow K_{c_j} \cdot 1.099$$

$$K_{\alpha_j} \leftarrow \begin{cases} 9.0 & \text{if } K_{\alpha_j} \leq 9.0 \\ K_{\alpha_j} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$K_{\gamma_j} \leftarrow \begin{cases} 9.0 & \text{if } K_{\gamma_j} \leq 9.0 \\ K_{\gamma_j} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$D_{a_j} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot (K_{\alpha_j} - 9.0)^{1.16}$$

$$D_{agj} \leftarrow \begin{cases} D_{a_j} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{if } K_{\alpha_j} < 80.0 \\ 4 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$D_{c_j} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot (K_{\gamma_j} - 9.0)^{1.16}$$

$$D_{cgj} \leftarrow \begin{cases} D_{c_j} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{if } K_{\gamma_j} < 80.0 \\ 4 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 0) \leftarrow j$$

$$\text{output}(j, 1) \leftarrow a_j$$

$$\text{output}(j, 2) \leftarrow c_j - c_0$$

$$\text{output}(j, 3) \leftarrow D_{agj}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 4) \leftarrow D_{cgj}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 5) \leftarrow K_{a_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 6) \leftarrow K_{c_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 7) \leftarrow \frac{NCB_j}{365 \cdot 24}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 8) \leftarrow G_{au_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 9) \leftarrow G_{al_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 10) \leftarrow G_{aq_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 11) \leftarrow G_{ac_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 12) \leftarrow G_{cu_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 13) \leftarrow G_{cl_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 14) \leftarrow G_{cq_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 15) \leftarrow G_{cc_j}$$

$$j \leftarrow j + 1$$

```
| | aj ← aj-1 + Dagj-1
| | cj ← cj-1 + Dcgj-1
| | aj ← | t if aj ≥ t
| |       | aj otherwise
| | NCBj ← NCBj-1 + Cblk
| output
```

Recursive Loop for Industry Model

{R/t = 4.0 and a/c=0.33 The R/t lower Limit for Original Raju-Newman model and aspect ratio was fixed at 1:6}

```

CGRBam.Bam := | j ← 0
                | a0 ← a0
                | c0 ← c0
                | NCB0 ← Cblk
                | while j ≤ Ilim
                |   | σ0 ← ODRG13
                |   | σ1 ← ODRG14
                |   | σ2 ← ODRG15
                |   | σ3 ← ODRG16
                |   | ξ0 ← σ0
                |   | ξ1 ← σ0 + σ1 ·  $\left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_j}{t}\right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^3$ 
                |   | ξ2 ← σ0 + σ1 ·  $\left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_j}{t}\right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^3$ 
                |   | ξ3 ← σ0 + σ1 ·  $\left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_j}{t}\right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^3$ 
                |   | ξ4 ← σ0 + σ1 ·  $\left(\frac{1.0 \cdot a_j}{t}\right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1.0 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{1.0 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^3$ 
                |   | x0 ← 0.0
                |   | x1 ← 0.25
                |   | x2 ← 0.5
                |   | x3 ← 0.75
                |   | x4 ← 1.0

```

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &\leftarrow \text{stack}(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\
 ST &\leftarrow \text{stack}(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4) \\
 RG &\leftarrow \text{regress}(X, ST, 3) \\
 \sigma_{00} &\leftarrow RG_3 \\
 \sigma_{10} &\leftarrow RG_4 \\
 \sigma_{20} &\leftarrow RG_5 \\
 \sigma_{30} &\leftarrow RG_6 \\
 AR_j &\leftarrow \frac{a_j}{c_j} \\
 AT_j &\leftarrow \frac{a_j}{t} \\
 G_{au,j} &\leftarrow f_{aU}(4, .3, AT_j) \\
 G_{al,j} &\leftarrow f_{aL}(4, .3, AT_j) \\
 G_{aq,j} &\leftarrow f_{aQ}(4, .3, AT_j) \\
 G_{ac,j} &\leftarrow f_{aC}(4, .3, AT_j) \\
 Q_j &\leftarrow \begin{cases} 1 + 1.464 \cdot \left(\frac{a_j}{c_j}\right)^{1.65} & \text{if } c_j \geq a_j \\ 1 + 1.464 \cdot \left(\frac{c_j}{a_j}\right)^{1.65} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 K_{a,j} &\leftarrow \left(\frac{\pi \cdot a_j}{Q_j}\right)^{0.5} \cdot (\sigma_{00} \cdot G_{au,j} + \sigma_{10} \cdot G_{al,j} + \sigma_{20} \cdot G_{aq,j} + \sigma_{30} \cdot G_{ac,j}) \\
 K_{\alpha,j} &\leftarrow K_{a,j}^{1.099} \\
 K_{\alpha,j} &\leftarrow \begin{cases} 9.0 & \text{if } K_{\alpha,j} \leq 9.0 \\ K_{\alpha,j} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 D_{a,j} &\leftarrow C_0 \cdot (K_{\alpha,j} - 9.0)^{1.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$D_{agj} \leftarrow \begin{cases} D_{aj} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{if } K_{\alpha_j} < 80.0 \\ 4 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$D_{cgj} \leftarrow D_{agj} \cdot 3$$

$$\text{output}(j, 0) \leftarrow j$$

$$\text{output}(j, 1) \leftarrow a_j$$

$$\text{output}(j, 2) \leftarrow c_j - c_0$$

$$\text{output}(j, 3) \leftarrow D_{agj}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 4) \leftarrow D_{cgj}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 5) \leftarrow K_{a_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 7) \leftarrow \frac{NCB_j}{365 \cdot 24}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 8) \leftarrow G_{au_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 9) \leftarrow G_{al_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 10) \leftarrow G_{aq_j}$$

$$\text{output}(j, 11) \leftarrow G_{ac_j}$$

$$j \leftarrow j + 1$$

$$a_j \leftarrow a_{j-1} + D_{ag_{j-1}}$$


$$c_j \leftarrow c_{j-1} + D_{cg_{j-1}}$$

$$a_j \leftarrow \begin{cases} t & \text{if } a_j \geq t \\ a_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$


$$NCB_j \leftarrow NCB_{j-1} + C_{blk}$$

output


k := 0..I_{lim}



The Current model, in the time period of interest provides a higher growth.




The flaw growth in the length direction for the conventional model is controlled by the flaw aspect ratio. Hence the observed higher growth rate for the conventional model does not signify a truly higher growth rate.




The SIF comparison shows that the current model has higher SIF for the period of interest (one operating cycle). The conventional model SIF rises above the current model SIF for the depth point (a-tip) but remains below that for the surface point (c-tip).

Axum plot showing the ID and the OD stress distribution for the CEDM



Axum plot showing the comparison for Crack growth between Conventional and Current Model



Axum plot showing the SIF comparison between the Conventional and Current Models

